Mundelein ESD 75



District Superintendent

Dr. Corey Tafoya ctafoya@d75.org

Address

470 N Lake St Mundelein IL 60060 (847) 949-2700

http://www.district75.org

2024 - 2025

District Provided Statement

Not available.

About the Report Card

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act requires that states annually assign schools a summative designation that meaningfully differentiates school performance based on multiple performance measures. All states were offered a waiver of this requirement for school year 2020–2021 due to the impact of COVID-19. For more information about Illinois' accountability system please visit www.isbe.net/summative.

For additional information, refer to the Public Business Rules for 2024 Report Card Metrics and the 2024 Glossary of Terms.

District Snapshot

Percent of Adequacy: 75.4% Chronic Absenteeism: 19.7%

Principal Turnover: 2 Schools in District: 4

Senate District: 30 House District: 59


TABLE OF CONTENTS


- 02 | Academic Progress
- 24 | District Environment
- 32 | Students
- 71 | Accountability
- 79 | Teachers
- 89 | Administrators & Support Personnel
- 94 | Civil Rights Data Collection (2021-22)
- 96 I NAEP

Date: 10/30/25 14:17:20 -05:00

About the data

Academic progress data includes information regarding assessments, such as student participation rates, proficiency rates, and mean student growth percentile. Other information regarding academics may include certain touchstones, such as eighth-graders passing Algebra 1, graduation rate, and various advanced coursework information. Academic progress often is disaggregated further into demographic student groups.







ELA Proficiency - Overall

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students at each performance level after assessment for proficiency in English Language Arts (ELA). The federal Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires states to assess their learning standards for English/Language Arts (ELA), Math, and Science. Each state may also have a general assessment for the majority of its students, and an alternate assessment for the one percent of students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. The "overall" percentage is calculated by subject combining all tests.

All Tests													
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	51.4%	56.4%	46.5%	*	‡	72.5%	36.4%	36.2%	*	‡	70.0%	71.1%	23.3%
State	52.4%	57.6%	47.5%	81.6%	44.3%	77.8%	31.1%	40.2%	59.3%	62.1%	55.9%	64.0%	26.0%
	English Learners	Studen with IEF			omeless N	1igrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	16.3%	23.3%	36.0	% ‡	*	:	‡	‡					
State	22.2%	16.0%	36.79	% 24	4.9% 1	6.4%	55.0%	24.1%					

All Tests - Federal Rate

All Tests -	rederair	tate											
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	53.0%	58.3%	47.9%	79.8%	44.1%	76.0%	31.7%	39.1%	52.8%	62.7%	57.5%	66.2%	26.5%
	English Learners	Studen with IEI			meless 1	1igrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	*	*	*	*	×	:	*	*					
State	19.6%	16.2%	36.59	% 20	.4% 1	0.8%	56.2%	23.7%					

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

ELA Proficiency - IAR

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students scoring at each of the Performance Levels for the Illinois Assessment of Readiness (IAR). Each Performance Level is a broad, categorical level defined by a student's overall scale score and used to report overall student performance by describing how well students met the expectations for their grade level/course. Each Performance Level is defined by a range of overall scale scores for the assessment. There are four Performance Levels for IAR assessments:

- Level 1: Below Proficient
- Level 2: Approaching Proficient
- Level 3: Proficient
- Level 4: Above Proficient

Students performing at levels 3 and 4 met or exceeded expectations, have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and, ultimately, are on track for college and careers. Performance Level Descriptors describe the knowledge, skills, and practices that students should know and be able to demonstrate at each Performance Level in each content area and at each grade level/course.

^{*}indicates non-reported data.‡indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

ELA Proficiency - IAR (cont)

Summary				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All				
District	15.2%	32.9%	40.9%	10.9%
State	14.1%	32.8%	40.9%	12.2%
Female				
District	13.4%	29.8%	42.8%	14.0%
State	11.5%	30.4%	43.1%	15.0%
Male				
District	17.0%	35.9%	39.1%	8.0%
State	16.7%	35.1%	38.8%	9.5%
Non Binary				
District	*	*	*	*
State	5.3%	12.3%	60.8%	21.6%
American Indian				
District	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	18.3%	36.4%	36.6%	8.6%
Asian				
District	2.5%	25.0%	40.0%	32.5%
State	4.9%	16.8%	47.9%	30.3%
Black				
District	21.2%	42.4%	21.2%	15.2%
State	25.0%	42.8%	28.1%	4.2%
Hispanic				
District	22.2%	41.0%	32.9%	3.9%
State	20.1%	39.0%	34.5%	6.4%

 $[\]underline{\ \ }^{ \underline{\ \ }} indicates \, non-reported \, data. \, \underline{\ \ } indicates \, suppressed \, data \, due \, to \, privacy \, concerns. \, Student \, counts \, reported \, are \, counts \, out \, of \, groups \, 10 \, or \, greater.$

ELA Proficiency - IAR (cont)

Summary				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
MENA				
District	*	*	*	*
State	11.7%	27.4%	41.9%	19.0%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I	slander			
District	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	10.2%	26.5%	48.1%	15.3%
Two or More Races				
District	7.5%	22.5%	52.5%	17.5%
State	12.8%	30.8%	41.1%	15.3%
White				
District	6.6%	21.9%	53.6%	17.9%
State	7.9%	27.6%	48.4%	16.0%
Students with Disabilities				
District	40.0%	35.5%	23.0%	1.5%
State	34.3%	39.6%	21.9%	4.2%
English Learners				
District	36.2%	47.2%	16.0%	0.7%
State	30.1%	45.9%	21.9%	2.1%
Homeless				
District	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	31.7%	42.1%	23.5%	2.7%
Students with IEPs				
District	40.0%	35.5%	23.0%	1.5%
State	41.9%	41.4%	14.7%	1.9%

 $[\]frac{*\,\text{indicates non-reported data.}\, \\ \text{\sharp indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

ELA Proficiency - IAR (cont)

Summary				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income				
District	23.8%	39.8%	30.8%	5.8%
State	21.5%	40.9%	32.3%	5.3%
Migrant				
District	*	*	*	*
State	45.4%	38.3%	14.2%	2.1%
Military				
District	0.0%	36.4%	45.5%	18.2%
State	12.2%	31.9%	43.1%	12.9%
Youth In Care				
District	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	31.3%	43.1%	23.3%	2.3%

 $[*] indicates non-reported data. \\ \ddagger indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. \\ Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater. \\$

ELA Proficiency - DLM

What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students scoring at each of the performance levels for the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternative Assessment (DLM-AA) for students with cognitive disabilities. DLM-AA results are not based on raw or scale scores; all data is based on diagnostic classification modeling. Standard setting allows us to look at patterns of number of linkage levels mastered across the tested Essential Elements, to which we can apply cut points to define categories of student performance. This performance are reported using the four Performance Levels approved by the consortium:

- Level 1 Emerging: The student demonstrates emerging understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.
- Level 2 Approaching Target: The student's understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is approaching the target.
- Level 3 At Target: The student's understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is at target.
- Level 4 Advanced: The student demonstrates advanced understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

ELA Proficiency - DLM (cont)

Summary				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All				
District	70.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	62.3%	22.8%	13.3%	1.6%
Female				
District	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	60.2%	24.4%	13.9%	1.5%
Male				
District	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	63.2%	22.0%	13.1%	1.6%
Non Binary				
District	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
American Indian				
District	*	*	*	*
State	66.7%	20.0%	13.3%	0.0%
Asian				
District	*	*	*	*
State	70.0%	20.3%	8.4%	1.3%
Black				
District	*	*	*	*
State	57.6%	24.1%	16.2%	2.0%
Hispanic				
District	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	63.0%	22.7%	12.8%	1.6%

 $[\]frac{*\,\text{indicates non-reported data.}\, \\ \text{\sharp indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

ELA Proficiency - DLM (cont)

Summary				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
MENA				
District	*	*	*	*
State	64.3%	17.9%	14.3%	3.6%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I	slander			
District	*	*	*	*
State	41.7%	50.0%	8.3%	0.0%
Two or More Races				
District	*	*	*	*
State	64.2%	21.1%	13.0%	1.8%
White				
District	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	63.5%	22.6%	12.6%	1.4%
Students with Disabilities				
District	70.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	62.3%	22.8%	13.3%	1.6%
English Learners				
District	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	64.0%	23.2%	11.5%	1.3%
Homeless				
District	*	*	*	*
State	59.6%	24.0%	15.4%	1.1%
Students with IEPs				
District	70.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	62.3%	22.8%	13.3%	1.6%

 $[\]frac{*\,\text{indicates non-reported data.}\, \\ \text{\sharp indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

ELA Proficiency - DLM (cont)

Summary				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income				
District	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	61.5%	22.5%	14.3%	1.7%
Migrant				
District	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
Military				
District	*	*	*	*
State	56.3%	25.3%	17.2%	1.1%
Youth In Care				
District	*	*	*	*
State	66.3%	19.9%	13.3%	0.6%

 $^{{}^{*}} indicates \, non-reported \, data. \\ {}^{\dagger} indicates \, suppressed \, data \, due \, to \, privacy \, concerns. \, Student \, counts \, reported \, are \, counts \, out \, of \, groups \, 10 \, or \, greater. \\$

ELA Participation - Overall

What is it?

Participation Rate is defined as the number of students who received participation credit for the state assessment divided by the number of students who were required to participate in the state assessment. No Participation Rate is defined as the number of students who did not receive participation credit for the state assessment divided by the number of students who were required to participate in the state assessment. The "overall" percentage is calculated by subject combining all tests.

Participat	ion												
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	99.4%	99.0%	99.8%	*	‡	100.0%	100.0%	99.4%	*	‡	100.0%	99.2%	98.6%
State	98.6%	98.8%	98.5%	95.5%	98.1%	99.2%	97.5%	98.5%	98.7%	97.6%	98.4%	99.0%	97.3%
	English Learners	Studer with IE			Homeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	99.4%	98.6%	99	.1%	‡	*	100.0%	‡					
State	98.4%	96.9%	98	.2%	95.9%	98.0%	98.8%	93.9%					

Non Partic	ipation												
	AII	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡	‡	‡	*	‡	‡	‡	‡	*	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	1.4%	1.2%	1.5%	4.5%	1.9%	0.8%	2.5%	1.5%	1.3%	2.4%	1.6%	1.0%	2.7%
	English Learners	Studen with IEI			omeless N	1igrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	ŧ	‡	‡	‡	*		‡	‡					
State	1.6%	3.1%	1.8%	4.	1% 2	2.0%	1.2%	6.1%					

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

ELA Participation - IAR

What is it?

Participation Rate is defined as the number of students who received participation credit for the state assessment divided by the number of students who were required to participate in the state assessment. No Participation Rate is defined as the number of students who did not receive participation credit for the state assessment divided by the number of students who were required to participate in the state assessment.

Summary Participation

District	99.3%	99.0%	99.0	1% ‡		*	100.0%	‡					
	English Learners	Studer with IE			lomeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
State	99.1%	99.2%	99.1%	96.2%	99.0%	99.4%	98.6%	99.2%	99.2%	98.8%	98.9%	99.3%	98.3%
District	99.5%	99.2%	99.8%	*	‡	100.0%	100.0%	99.4%	*	‡	100.0%	99.4%	99.0%
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities

Summary Non Participation

Summary	summary Non Farticipation												
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	0.5%	0.8%	0.2%	*	‡	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	*	‡	0.0%	0.6%	1.0%
State	0.9%	0.8%	0.9%	3.8%	1.0%	0.6%	1.4%	0.8%	0.8%	1.2%	1.1%	0.7%	1.7%
	English Learners	Studen with IEI			omeless M	ligrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	0.7%	1.0%	1.0%	‡	*		0.0%	‡					
State	1.0%	1.9%	1.0%	2.7	7% 1.	8%	0.7%	3.4%					

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

ELA Participation - DLM

What is it?

Participation Rate is defined as the number of students who received participation credit for the state assessment divided by the number of students who were required to participate in the state assessment. No Participation Rate is defined as the number of students who did not receive participation credit for the state assessment divided by the number of students who were required to participate in the state assessment.

Summary Participation

ounning i	artioipat												
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	90.9%	‡	‡	*	*	*	*	‡	*	*	*	‡	90.9%
State	93.7%	93.5%	93.7%	*	100.0%	95.3%	92.9%	94.1%	85.3%	85.7%	91.8%	93.8%	93.7%
	English Learners	Studen with IEF		me Ho	omeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	‡	90.9%	‡	*	:	*	*	*					
State	94.6%	93.7%	93.39	% 91.	.9%	*	92.6%	90.5%					

Summary Non Participation													
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	9.1%	‡	ŧ	*	*	*	*	ŧ	*	*	*	ŧ	9.1%
State	6.4%	6.5%	6.3%	*	0.0%	4.9%	7.1%	5.9%	14.7%	14.3%	8.2%	6.2%	6.4%
	English Learners	Students with IEPs			meless N	1igrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	‡	9.1%	‡	*	*	:	*	*					
State	5.5%	6.4%	6.7%	8.1	% *		7.4%	10.0%					

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

ELA Growth - IAR

What is it?

Student growth percentile (SGP) is a measure of student growth that compares a student's performance over time to that of their academic peers (e.g., students in Illinois who have the same scale score in the prior year). It includes the current year score and up to two prior years' scores allowing the growth percentile calculation to represent a true growth trend and not just movement up and down from year to year. Individual student growth percentiles range from 1 to 99. A score of 50 represents average or expected growth each year (or reflects the absence of directly comparable data from the previous year to use as a baseline for comparison.) These scores are averaged to create a school or student group mean student growth percentile (MSGP).

Cohort Growth Percentile ELA - By Demographics

0011011011	onort orowan rescendie LLA - by beinographics												
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	50.2	53.1	47.4	*	‡	63.4	43	45.7	*	ŧ	58.4	55.2	45.3
State	50	51.9	48.1	52.9	49.6	56.1	46.9	48.9	55.2	53.2	50.1	50.8	44.5
	English Learners	Studer with IE			omeless i	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	45.1	45.3	46.	6 ‡	k		59	‡					
State	47.5	42.7	47.	7 4	5.2	48.3	49.7	44.2					

Baseline Growth Percentile ELA - By Demographics

			_,, _, _	J 9. up									
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	Americar Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	59 812	61.6 402	56.5 410	*	‡ ‡	69.2 26	53.6 23	55.3 437	*	‡ ‡	64.9 36	63.3 287	56.1 161
State	58.2 614,241	59.8 300,842	56.7 313,258	60.2 141	58 1,373	62.6 34,695	56 97,629	57.8 169,312	62.4 1,121	60.4 508	58 27,635	58.7 281,968	53.6 122,592
	English Learners	Student with IEP		е Но	meless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	54.6 230	56.1 161	56.2 329	‡ ‡		*	66 10	‡ ‡					
State	56.7 93,075	52 93,448	56.6 307,45	54 .		58 109	57.7 4,991	53.2 3,196					

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Math Proficiency - Overall

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students at each performance level after assessment for proficiency in Math. The federal Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires states to assess their learning standards for English/Language Arts (ELA), Math, and Science. Each state may also have a general assessment for the majority of its students, and an alternate assessment for the one percent of students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. The "overall" percentage is calculated by subject combining all tests.

All Tests													
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	33.7%	32.1%	35.2%	*	‡	70.0%	‡	18.2%	*	‡	47.5%	52.4%	11.0%
State	38.4%	36.3%	40.3%	56.3%	30.9%	71.2%	15.3%	24.9%	46.3%	44.8%	41.8%	50.4%	19.7%
	English Learners	Studen with IE		me Ho	omeless l	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	8.3%	11.0%	17.5%	\$ #	s	k	‡	‡					
State	16.0%	12.3%	22.0	% 12.	.8%	10.8%	39.1%	13.6%					

All Tests - Federal Rate

All Tests -	rederair	tate											
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	38.7%	36.8%	40.6%	55.2%	30.7%	69.6%	15.5%	24.2%	41.3%	45.4%	43.0%	52.0%	20.1%
	English Learners	Studen with IEI		me Ho	meless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	*	*	*	*	:	k	*	*					
State	14.1%	12.5%	21.8%	6 10.	5%	7.1%	40.0%	13.4%					

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Math Proficiency - IAR

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students scoring at each of the Performance Levels for the Illinois Assessment of Readiness (IAR). Each Performance Level is a broad, categorical level defined by a student's overall scale score and used to report overall student performance by describing how well students met the expectations for their grade level/course. Each Performance Level is defined by a range of overall scale scores for the assessment. There are four Performance Levels for IAR assessments:

- Level 1: Below Proficient
- Level 2: Approaching Proficient
- Level 3: Proficient
- Level 4: Above Proficient

Students performing at levels 3 and 4 met or exceeded expectations, have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and, ultimately, are on track for college and careers. Performance Level Descriptors describe the knowledge, skills, and practices that students should know and be able to demonstrate at each Performance Level in each content area and at each grade level/course.

^{*}indicates non-reported data.‡indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Math Proficiency - IAR (cont)

Summary				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All				
District	25.3%	40.6%	28.8%	5.3%
State	24.6%	36.9%	30.9%	7.6%
Female				
District	27.4%	40.3%	28.0%	4.3%
State	24.8%	38.8%	29.9%	6.5%
Male				
District	23.4%	40.9%	29.5%	6.2%
State	24.4%	35.1%	31.9%	8.7%
Non Binary				
District	*	*	*	*
State	11.1%	36.8%	40.4%	11.7%
American Indian				
District	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	31.3%	36.4%	26.1%	6.2%
Asian				
District	2.5%	27.5%	55.0%	15.0%
State	7.0%	21.6%	43.9%	27.6%
Black				
District	27.3%	48.5%	21.2%	3.0%
State	46.5%	38.1%	14.1%	1.3%
Hispanic				
District	36.2%	45.3%	17.3%	1.2%
State	32.7%	42.1%	22.5%	2.7%

 $[\]frac{*\,\text{indicates non-reported data.}\, \\ \text{\sharp indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

Math Proficiency - IAR (cont)

Summary				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
MENA				
District	*	*	*	*
State	17.8%	35.3%	37.5%	9.4%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I	slander			
District	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	18.4%	37.4%	36.1%	8.2%
Two or More Races				
District	20.0%	32.5%	35.0%	12.5%
State	23.5%	34.6%	32.2%	9.7%
White				
District	12.3%	35.0%	43.0%	9.7%
State	14.2%	35.5%	40.2%	10.1%
Students with Disabilities	1			
District	56.5%	32.0%	11.0%	0.5%
State	48.2%	32.2%	16.5%	3.1%
English Learners				
District	47.9%	43.6%	8.5%	0.0%
State	42.1%	40.7%	16.0%	1.2%
Homeless				
District	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	49.9%	36.7%	12.7%	0.7%
Students with IEPs				
District	56.5%	32.0%	11.0%	0.5%
State	57.1%	30.1%	11.2%	1.6%

 $[\]frac{*\,\text{indicates non-reported data.}\, \\ \text{\sharp indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

Math Proficiency - IAR (cont)

Summary				
Summal y				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income				
District	38.8%	43.5%	16.8%	1.0%
State	36.6%	41.0%	20.1%	2.3%
Migrant				
District	*	*	*	*
State	51.4%	38.6%	9.3%	0.7%
Military				
District	0.0%	72.7%	18.2%	9.1%
State	20.1%	40.4%	33.1%	6.3%
Youth In Care				
District	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	50.7%	35.3%	13.4%	0.6%

 $[*] indicates non-reported data. \\ \ddagger indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. \\ Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater. \\$

Math Proficiency - DLM

What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students scoring at each of the performance levels for the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternative Assessment (DLM-AA) for students with cognitive disabilities. DLM-AA results are not based on raw or scale scores; all data is based on diagnostic classification modeling. Standard setting allows us to look at patterns of number of linkage levels mastered across the tested Essential Elements, to which we can apply cut points to define categories of student performance. This performance are reported using the four Performance Levels approved by the consortium:

- Level 1 Emerging: The student demonstrates emerging understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.
- Level 2 Approaching Target: The student's understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is approaching the target.
- Level 3 At Target: The student's understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is at target.
- Level 4 Advanced: The student demonstrates advanced understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.

^{*}indicates non-reported data.‡indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Math Proficiency - DLM (cont)

Summary				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All				
District	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	66.3%	17.9%	12.1%	3.7%
Female				
District	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	67.9%	19.1%	10.1%	2.9%
Male				
District	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	65.6%	17.3%	13.1%	4.1%
Non Binary				
District	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
American Indian				
District	*	*	*	*
State	66.7%	13.3%	16.7%	3.3%
Asian				
District	*	*	*	*
State	72.4%	12.6%	11.6%	3.3%
Black				
District	*	*	*	*
State	62.0%	19.1%	14.0%	4.9%
Hispanic				
District	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	65.7%	18.3%	12.2%	3.8%

 $[\]underline{\ \ }^{ \underline{\ \ }} indicates \, non-reported \, data. \, \underline{\ \ } indicates \, suppressed \, data \, due \, to \, privacy \, concerns. \, Student \, counts \, reported \, are \, counts \, out \, of \, groups \, 10 \, or \, greater.$

Math Proficiency - DLM (cont)

Summary				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
MENA				
District	*	*	*	*
State	57.1%	25.0%	17.9%	0.0%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I	slander			
District	*	*	*	*
State	50.0%	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%
Two or More Races				
District	*	*	*	*
State	67.9%	14.9%	13.7%	3.4%
White				
District	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	68.8%	17.9%	10.5%	2.8%
Students with Disabilities				
District	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	66.3%	17.9%	12.1%	3.7%
English Learners				
District	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	66.9%	17.0%	12.5%	3.6%
Homeless				
District	*	*	*	*
State	61.5%	20.0%	15.1%	3.4%
Students with IEPs				
District	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	66.3%	17.9%	12.1%	3.7%

 $[\]frac{*\,\text{indicates non-reported data.}\, \\ \text{\sharp indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

Math Proficiency - DLM (cont)

Summary				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income				
District	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	64.5%	18.4%	13.2%	3.9%
Migrant				
District	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
Military				
District	*	*	*	*
State	60.9%	26.4%	6.9%	5.7%
Youth In Care				
District	*	*	*	*
State	65.0%	18.3%	13.3%	3.3%

 $[*] indicates non-reported data. \\ \ddagger indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. \\ Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater. \\$

Math Participation - Overall

What is it?

Participation Rate is defined as the number of students who received participation credit for the state assessment divided by the number of students who were required to participate in the state assessment. No Participation Rate is defined as the number of students who did not receive participation credit for the state assessment divided by the number of students who were required to participate in the state assessment. The "overall" percentage is calculated by subject combining all tests.

Participati	ion												
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	99.4%	99.0%	99.8%	*	‡	100.0%	100.0%	99.4%	*	‡	100.0%	99.2%	98.6%
State	98.5%	98.7%	98.4%	95.5%	98.0%	99.2%	97.4%	98.4%	98.7%	97.8%	98.3%	98.9%	97.1%
	English Learners	Studer with IE			Homeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	99.4%	98.6%	99	.1%	‡	*	100.0%	‡					
State	98.2%	96.7%	98	.1%	95.4%	97.6%	98.9%	93.5%					

Participation

Non Partic	ipation												
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡	‡	‡	*	‡	‡	‡	‡	*	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	1.5%	1.3%	1.6%	4.5%	2.0%	0.8%	2.6%	1.6%	1.3%	2.2%	1.7%	1.1%	2.9%
	English Learners				omeless N	1igrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	‡	‡	ŧ	‡	*		‡	‡					
State	1.8%	3.3%	1.9%	4.	6% 2	2.4%	1.1%	6.5%					

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Math Participation - IAR

What is it?

Participation Rate is defined as the number of students who received participation credit for the state assessment divided by the number of students who were required to participate in the state assessment. No Participation Rate is defined as the number of students who did not receive participation credit for the state assessment divided by the number of students who were required to participate in the state assessment.

Summary Participation

ounning i	uninally i al despation													
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities	
District	99.5%	99.2%	99.8%	*	‡	100.0%	100.0%	99.4%	*	‡	100.0%	99.4%	99.0%	
State	99.0%	99.1%	99.0%	96.2%	98.9%	99.3%	98.4%	99.1%	99.3%	98.6%	98.8%	99.2%	98.1%	
	English Learners	Studer with IE			lomeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care						
District	99.3%	99.0%	99.0	‡		*	100.0%	‡						
State	98.9%	97.8%	98.8	% 9	6.8%	97.8%	99.3%	96.2%						

Summary Non Participation

Summary	Summary Non Participation													
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities	
District	0.5%	0.8%	0.2%	*	ŧ	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	*	‡	0.0%	0.6%	1.0%	
State	1.0%	0.9%	1.0%	3.8%	1.1%	0.7%	1.6%	0.9%	0.7%	1.4%	1.2%	0.8%	1.9%	
	English Learners				omeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care						
District	0.7%	1.0%	1.0%	‡		*	0.0%	‡						
State	1.1%	2.2%	1.2%	3.2	2%	2.2%	0.7%	3.9%						

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Math Participation - DLM

What is it?

Participation Rate is defined as the number of students who received participation credit for the state assessment divided by the number of students who were required to participate in the state assessment. No Participation Rate is defined as the number of students who did not receive participation credit for the state assessment divided by the number of students who were required to participate in the state assessment.

Summary Participation

ounning i	a a a cioipa												
	AII	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	90.9%	ŧ.	‡	*	*	*	*	‡	*	*	*	‡	90.9%
State	93.6%	93.4%	93.7%	*	100.0%	95.0%	93.0%	93.9%	85.3%	85.7%	92.2%	93.8%	93.6%
	English Learners	Studen with IE			omeless i	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	‡	90.9%	‡	*	*		*	*					
State	94.3%	93.6%	93.3	% 91	1.0%		92.6%	90.5%					

Summary Non Participation													
	AII	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	9.1%	‡	‡	*	*	*	*	‡	*	*	*	‡	9.1%
State	6.4%	6.6%	6.3%	*	0.0%	5.2%	7.0%	6.1%	14.7%	14.3%	7.8%	6.3%	6.4%
	English Learners				omeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	‡	9.1%	‡	*	:		*	*					
State	5.7%	6.4%	6.7%	9.0	3%		7.4%	10.0%					

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Math Growth - IAR

What is it?

Student growth percentile (SGP) is a measure of student growth that compares a student's performance over time to that of their academic peers (e.g., students in Illinois who have the same scale score in the prior year). It includes the current year score and up to two prior years' scores allowing the growth percentile calculation to represent a true growth trend and not just movement up and down from year to year. Individual student growth percentiles range from 1 to 99. A score of 50 represents average or expected growth each year (or reflects the absence of directly comparable data from the previous year to use as a baseline for comparison.) These scores are averaged to create a school or student group mean student growth percentile (MSGP).

Cohort Growth Percentile Math - By Demographics

0011011011	onort orowth rescentile right - by beinographics													
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities	
District	55.2	55.8	54.6	*	‡	61.2	60.7	51.8	*	‡	63.9	57.9	49.4	
State	50	49.8	50	51.3	49.7	57.6	45.7	49.4	55.8	53.8	49.9	50.6	46.2	
	English Learners	Studen with IEI			omeless i	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care						
District	48.3	49.4	51.8	‡	*		66	‡						
State	48.5	44.7	47.7	44	4.9	47.3	49.5	44.2						

Baseline Growth Percentile Math - By Demographics

Juociiii C			iden by	Demogr	артноо								
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	57 812	57.7 402	56.3 410	*	‡ ‡	64.7 26	62.6 23	53.3 437	*	‡ ‡	65.8 36	60.2 287	50 161
State	52 612,969	52 300,277	52 312,551	53.5 141	51.5 1,368	59.2 34,624	47.4 97,257	51.6 168,970	58.1 1,120	56 509	51.9 27,579	53 281,542	47.7 122,138
	English Learners						Military	Youth In Care					
District	49.3 230	50 161	52.9 329	‡ ‡			67 10	‡ ‡					
State	50.1 92.852	45.9 93.070	49.7 306.			48.3 108	51.7 4.992	45.6 3.162					

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Science Proficiency - Overall

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students at each performance level after assessment for proficiency in Science. The federal Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires states to assess their learning standards for English/Language Arts (ELA), Math, and Science. Each state may also have a general assessment for the majority of its students, and an alternate assessment for the one percent of students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. The "overall" percentage is calculated by subject combining all tests.

All Tests													
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	45.9%	47.8%	44.1%	*	‡	83.3%	‡	27.5%	*	‡	71.4%	68.4%	19.0%
State	44.6%	44.3%	44.8%	69.5%	34.7%	73.1%	20.4%	31.2%	45.9%	52.9%	48.0%	57.7%	24.3%
	English Learners					ligrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	‡	19.0%	28.0	% ‡	*		‡	‡					
State	12.2%	13.2%	27.7	% 17.	9% 1	1.4%	47.9%	16.9%					

All Tests - Federal Rate

All lests -	r ederal R	rate											
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	‡	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	44.7%	44.6%	44.9%	68.1%	34.0%	71.8%	20.4%	30.3%	40.9%	53.0%	48.8%	59.3%	24.2%
	English Learners	Students Low with IEPs Income Homeless				Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	*	*	*	*		*	*	*					
State	10.7%	13.1%	27.2	% 14.	6%	7.9%	48.6%	15.5%					

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Science Proficiency - ISA

What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students scoring at each of the Performance Levels for the Illinois Science Assessment (ISA). The ISA performance levels indicate students' understanding of the Illinois Learning Standards in science for their grade level. There are four Performance Levels for the ISA:

- Level 1 Below Proficient: Work at this level shows a partial application of knowledge and skills. It is superficial (lacks depth), fragmented, or incomplete and needs considerable development. Work contains errors or omissions.
- Level 2 Approaching Proficient: Work at this level does not meet the standard. It shows basic, but inconsistent, application of knowledge and skills. Minor errors or omissions detract from the overall quality. Work needs further development.
- Level 3 Proficient: Work at this level meets the standard. It is acceptable work that demonstrates application of essential knowledge and skills. Minor errors or omissions do not detract from the overall quality.
- Level 4 Above Proficient: Work at this level is of exceptional quality. It is both thorough and accurate. It exceeds the standard. It shows a sophisticated application of knowledge and skills.

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Science Proficiency - ISA (cont)

Summary					
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	
All					
District	14.2%	39.5%	39.8%	6.5%	
State	14.6%	42.3%	36.8%	6.2%	
Female					
District	8.8%	43.1%	41.4%	6.6%	
State	13.4%	44.4%	36.8%	5.4%	
Male					
District	19.4%	36.0%	38.2%	6.5%	
State	15.8%	40.4%	36.7%	7.0%	
Non Binary					
District	*	*	*	*	
State	7.1%	20.0%	60.0%	12.9%	
American Indian	American Indian				
District	‡	‡	‡	‡	
State	19.4%	47.4%	29.9%	3.3%	
Asian					
District	0.0%	16.7%	83.3%	0.0%	
State	4.4%	24.2%	53.0%	18.4%	
Black					
District	15.4%	53.8%	30.8%	0.0%	
State	29.8%	51.8%	17.5%	1.0%	
Hispanic					
District	21.4%	51.0%	26.0%	1.6%	
State	19.6%	51.0%	27.1%	2.3%	

 $[\]textcolor{red}{\bullet} \text{ indicates non-reported data.} \textcolor{red}{\ddagger} \text{ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

Science Proficiency - ISA (cont)

Summary				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
MENA				
District	*	*	*	*
State	12.1%	46.7%	36.4%	4.8%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I	slander			
District	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	10.6%	39.4%	42.2%	7.8%
Two or More Races				
District	7.1%	21.4%	57.1 %	14.3%
State	13.5%	39.9%	38.4%	8.2%
White				
District	6.0%	24.6%	55.2%	14.2%
State	7.6%	36.2%	47.4%	8.8%
Students with Disabilities				
District	38.2%	42.1%	19.7%	0.0%
State	34.2%	43.4%	19.5%	2.9%
English Learners				
District	37.4%	55.6%	7.1%	0.0%
State	30.2%	58.2%	11.3%	0.3%
Homeless				
District	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	32.1%	50.7%	16.2%	1.0%
Students with IEPs				
District	38.2%	42.1%	19.7%	0.0%
State	42.6%	44.5%	11.6%	1.2%

 $[\]frac{*\,\text{indicates non-reported data.}\, \\ \text{\sharp indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

Science Proficiency - ISA (cont)

Summary					
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	
Low Income					
District	21.2%	50.6%	26.9%	1.3%	
State	22.5%	50.9%	24.6%	1.9%	
Migrant	Migrant				
District	*	*	*	*	
State	34.6%	51.9%	13.5%	0.0%	
Military					
District	‡	‡	‡	‡	
State	11.4%	40.7%	41.0%	7.0%	
Youth In Care					
District	‡	‡	‡	‡	
State	34.2%	48.8%	16.5%	0.6%	

 $[*] indicates non-reported data. \\ \ddagger indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. \\ Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater. \\$

Science Proficiency - DLM

What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students scoring at each of the performance levels for the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternative Assessment (DLM-AA) for students with cognitive disabilities. DLM-AA results are not based on raw or scale scores; all data is based on diagnostic classification modeling. Standard setting allows us to look at patterns of number of linkage levels mastered across the tested Essential Elements, to which we can apply cut points to define categories of student performance. This performance are reported using the four Performance Levels approved by the consortium:

- Level 1 Emerging: The student demonstrates emerging understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.
- Level 2 Approaching Target: The student's understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is approaching the target.
- Level 3 At Target: The student's understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is at target.
- Level 4 Advanced: The student demonstrates advanced understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.

^{*}indicates non-reported data.‡indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Science Proficiency - DLM (cont)

Summary					
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	
All					
District	‡	‡	‡	‡	
State	69.3%	20.6%	9.1%	1.0%	
Female					
District	‡	‡	‡	‡	
State	70.9%	20.3%	7.9%	0.8%	
Male					
District	‡	‡	‡	‡	
State	68.5%	20.7%	9.7%	1.0%	
Non Binary					
District	*	*	*	*	
State	*	*	*	*	
American Indian	American Indian				
District	*	*	*	*	
State	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%	0.0%	
Asian	Asian				
District	*	*	*	*	
State	80.4%	15.7%	2.6%	1.3%	
Black					
District	*	*	*	*	
State	63.9%	22.4%	12.2%	1.5%	
Hispanic					
District	‡	‡	‡	‡	
State	70.0%	21.1%	8.3%	0.5%	

^{*}indicates non-reported data.‡indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Science Proficiency - DLM (cont)

Summary					
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	
MENA	MENA				
District	*	*	*	*	
State	64.3%	21.4%	14.3%	0.0%	
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I	slander				
District	*	*	*	*	
State	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	
Two or More Races					
District	*	*	*	*	
State	65.7%	23.2%	10.6%	0.5%	
White					
District	‡	‡	‡	‡	
State	71.4%	19.3%	8.3%	1.0%	
Students with Disabilities					
District	‡	‡	‡	‡	
State	69.3%	20.6%	9.1%	1.0%	
English Learners					
District	‡	‡	‡	‡	
State	71.6%	20.3%	7.5%	0.5%	
Homeless					
District	*	*	*	*	
State	66.3%	20.2%	12.5%	1.0%	
Students with IEPs					
District	‡	‡	‡	‡	
State	69.3%	20.6%	9.1%	1.0%	

 $[\]underline{\hbox{*-indicates non-reported data.$ \sharp indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

Science Proficiency - DLM (cont)

Summary				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income				
District	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	68.1%	20.5%	10.3%	1.0%
Migrant				
District	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
Military				
District	*	*	*	*
State	56.3%	31.3%	12.5%	0.0%
Youth In Care				
District	*	*	*	*
State	72.2%	20.8%	4.2%	2.8%

 $^{{\}color{red}^{*}} indicates \, non-reported \, data. \, {\color{red}^{*}} indicates \, suppressed \, data \, due \, to \, privacy \, concerns. \, Student \, counts \, reported \, are \, counts \, out \, of \, groups \, 10 \, or \, greater. \, {\color{red}^{*}}$

Science Participation - Overall

What is it?

Participation Rate is defined as the number of students who received participation credit for the state assessment divided by the number of students who were required to participate in the state assessment. No Participation Rate is defined as the number of students who did not receive participation credit for the state assessment divided by the number of students who were required to participate in the state assessment. The "overall" percentage is calculated by subject combining all tests.

Participati	ion												
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	98.9%	98.4%	99.5%	*	‡	100.0%	92.9%	98.5%	*	‡	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
State	97.5%	97.7%	97.3%	94.5%	96.2%	98.8%	95.4%	97.2%	97.8%	96.9%	97.2%	98.3%	95.3%
	English Learners	Studer with IE			Homeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	97.2%	100.0%	6 98	.8%	‡	*	‡	‡					
State	96.8%	94.4%	96	.6%	92.7%	96.3%	97.9%	87.2%					

Participation

Non Partic	ipation												
	AII	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	ŧ	‡	ŧ	*	‡	‡	‡	ŧ	*	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	2.5%	2.3%	2.7%	5.5%	3.8%	1.2%	4.6%	2.8%	2.2%	3.1%	2.8%	1.7%	4.7%
	English Learners	Studen with IEF			omeless 1	1igrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	‡	‡	‡	‡	4		‡	‡					
State	3.2%	5.6%	3.4%	7 .	3% 3	5.7%	2.1%	12.8%					

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Science Participation - ISA

What is it?

Participation Rate is defined as the number of students who received participation credit for the state assessment divided by the number of students who were required to participate in the state assessment. No Participation Rate is defined as the number of students who did not receive participation credit for the state assessment divided by the number of students who were required to participate in the state assessment.

Summary Participation

·	. ш. п. о. р ш												
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	98.9%	98.4%	99.5%	*	‡	100.0%	92.9%	98.5%	*	‡	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
State	98.5%	98.5%	98.5%	96.0%	98.2%	99.1%	97.6%	98.6%	99.0%	98.7%	98.2%	98.8%	97.2%
	English Learners	Stude with IE			Homeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	97.2%	100.09	% 98.8	8%	ŧ	*	‡	‡					
Ctata	98.3%	96.9%	08 4	2%	QE E%	06 3%	08 0%	92.7%					

Summary Non Participation

Summary	Non Parti	cipation											
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	1.1%	1.6%	0.5%	*	‡	0.0%	7.1%	1.5%	*	‡	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	4.0%	1.8%	0.9%	2.4%	1.4%	1.0%	1.3%	1.8%	1.2%	2.8%
	English Learners	Studer with IE			omeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	2.8%	0.0%	1.3%	‡		*	‡	‡					
State	1.7%	3.2%	1.8%	4.	5%	3.7%	1.1%	7.3%					

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Science Participation - DLM

What is it?

Participation Rate is defined as the number of students who received participation credit for the state assessment divided by the number of students who were required to participate in the state assessment. No Participation Rate is defined as the number of students who did not receive participation credit for the state assessment divided by the number of students who were required to participate in the state assessment.

Summary Participation Native Hawaiian/ Twoor Students Non American Pacific More with Male Binary Indian Asian MENA Islander White Disabilities Female Black Hispanic Races **District** 90.8% 89.2% 91.6% 92.3% 92.3% 90.1% 91.4% 82.4% 88.9% 90.4% 90.8% 90.9% State

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care
District	‡	‡	‡	*	*	*	*
State	91.4%	90.9%	90.6%	87.5%	*	91.4%	83.0%

Summary Non Participation

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡	‡	‡	*	*	*	*	‡	*	*	*	‡	‡
State	9.2%	10.8%	8.4%	*	7.7%	7.7%	9.9%	8.6%	17.6%	11.1%	9.6%	9.2%	9.1%

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care
District	‡	‡	‡	*	*	*	*
State	8.6%	9.1%	9.4%	12.5%	*	8.6%	17.0%

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Eighth Graders Passing Algebra I

What is it?

This shows the percentage of eighth-graders passing Algebra I. They are defined as eighth-grade students who have successfully completed Algebra I (or its equivalent) by the end of Grade 8. Successful completion is measured by earning a D or above and receiving at least 1.0 credit hour.

	AII	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	38.1%	38.1%	38.0%	*	*	‡	‡	22.7%	*	*	‡	55.1%	‡
State	30.8%	30.5%	31.2%	38.6%	26.6%	63.7%	18.2%	23.2%	34.1%	30.0%	33.8%	35.7%	14.9%
	English Learners	Student with IEF		me Ho	meless N	1igrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	‡	#	‡	‡	*	:	*	*					
State	14.1%	7.8%	20.4	% 15.	2% 1	8.9%	*	*					


^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

About the data

District environment data includes information regarding finances as well as information regarding conditions in the classroom, such as average class size and total school days.

No Data

Average Class Size



district's percentage of adequacy

Evidence-Based Funding

Early Learning

What is it?

This shows the percentage of entering kindergartners rated on their readiness. The Kindergarten Individual Development Survey (KIDS) was implemented statewide in Illinois in the 2017-18 school year. KIDS is an observational assessment tool designed to help teachers, administrators, families, and policymakers better understand the development of children in kindergarten. ISBE requires kindergarten teachers to collect observations beginning on the first day of in-person instruction on a minimum of 14 required measures, which are from three key developmental areas. This subset of 14 measures provides a developmental indicator for a group of students (class, school, district, state) in kindergarten.

Kindergarten Individual Developmental Survey (KIDS) Results - Overall

	% of Entering Kindergartners	% of Entering Kind	ergartners Demonst	rating Readiness by	Developmental Are	а		
	Rated on Required 14 Measures	In 0 Developmental Areas	In 1 Developmental Area	In 2 Developmental Areas	In AII 3 Developmnetal Areas	Social and Emotional Development	Language and Literacy Development	Math
District	99.3%	54.3%	23.8%	13.2%	8.6%	35.8%	17.9%	22.5%
State	87.1%	34.9%	16.5%	17.1%	31.5%	58.7%	49.5%	36.9%

% Entering Kindergartners Demonstrating Readiness in all 3 Developmental Areas - KIDS Results

	All	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income
District	8.6%	*	‡	‡	‡	*	*	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	31.5%	28.3%	37.7%	24.5%	20.2%	22.9%	24.2%	34.4%	39.3%	15.5%	14.3%	15.5%	21.7%

Homeless

District	*
State	17.2%

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

School Level Finances

What is it?

Average spending per student at this school, as collected through the unaudited ESSA Site-Based Expenditure Report. Districts report the actual dollars spent in the previous school year, including site-level costs (like school staff) and districtwide centralized costs allocated to each individual school (like transportation and central office staff), divided by the school's enrollment. Districts also report whether federal or state/local sources funded the spending.

					District C	entralized Per F	Pupil					
		Site level	Per Pupil Expe	nditures	Expendit	ures		Total Per	Pupil Expendit	ures		
												Total
	Enrollment	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Total	Exclusions	Expenditures
District	1,537	\$392	\$12,032	\$12,424	\$228	\$5,788	\$6,016	\$620	\$17,820	\$18,440	\$3,502,802	\$31,837,142

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

School Level Finances (cont)

		Site level Pe				District Centralized Per Pupil Expenditures			Total Per Pupil Expenditures		
	Enrollment	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Total	
Carl Sandburg Middle School	534	\$120	\$12,055	\$12,175	\$228	\$5,788	\$6,016	\$348	\$17,843	\$18,191	
Lincoln Elem School	51	\$425	\$29,077	\$29,502	\$228	\$5,788	\$6,016	\$653	\$34,865	\$35,518	
Mechanics Grove Elem School	488	\$392	\$10,794	\$11,187	\$228	\$5,788	\$6,016	\$620	\$16,582	\$17,203	
Washington Elem School	465	\$700	\$11,449	\$12,149	\$228	\$5,788	\$6,016	\$928	\$17,237	\$18,165	

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\pm indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

District Finances

What is it?

The Annual Financial Report (AFR) for a district is the final financial statement for the fiscal year of a Local Education Agency (LEA) after it has been audited by a qualified auditor. Each district is required to have an annual audit completed by a qualified and licensed auditing firm. The AFR is completed by the auditor and is submitted to Illinois State Board of Education. This displays the Revenue by Source, Expenditure by Function, Expenditure by Fund, and other financial indicators.

Revenue By Source

	Local Property Taxes	Other Local Funding	Evidence-Based Funding	Other State Funding	Federal Funding	Total Revenue
District	56.8% \$19,247,733	9.4% \$3,190,444	21.2% \$7,185,065	5.5% \$1,854,169	7.0% \$2,382,669	\$33,860,080
State	56.7%	7.0%	19.6%	4.9%	11.9%	*

Expenditure By Function

	Instruction	General Administration	Supporting Services	Other Expenditures
District	42.5%	1.9%	37.3%	18.3%
State	46.3%	2.3%	30.9%	20.5%

Expenditure By Fund

	Education	Operations & Maintenance	Transportation	Debt Service	Tort	Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	Fire Prevention & Safety	Capital Projects	Total Expenditure
District	70.9% \$23,419,001	9.1% \$3,021,640	6.9% \$2,295,177	6.3% \$2,067,053	0.0% \$0	2.1% \$709,006	0.0% \$0	4.6% \$1,533,985	\$33,045,862
State	70.6%	7.9%	4.2%	6.7%	1.3%	1.6%	0.3%	7.4%	*

^{*}indicates non-reported data.‡indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

District Finances (cont)

Other	Financ	ial Ind	licators
umer	rinanc	iai inc	ucainrs

	2022 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2022 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2023-24 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2023-24 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$292,089	4.6	\$9,963	\$18,618
State	*	*	\$11,785	\$20,129

Average Class Size

What is it?

This shows the average number of students in each class at this school. Class size refers to the number of students in a classroom, specifically either the number of students being taught by individual teachers in a classroom or the average number of students being taught by teachers in a school, district, or education system

	K	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Summary
District	19.7	21.9	20.7	22	22.8	23.2	23	15.4	20.3	*
State	20	20.1	20.5	21.2	21.2	21.3	21.1	20.8	20.9	*

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Total School Days

What is it?

This shows the total number of days in which the school provided at least five hours of instruction during the school year. The minimum length for an Illinois public school's year is 176 days. The number of actual calendar days varies from district to district.

	Total School Days
District	176
State	176

Health and Wellness

What is it?

Days PE per week: This shows the average number of days of physical education per week per student.

Truant Minor Count: The table below reports the count of the number of truant minors in the district in the previous school year.

Truant Minors are defined as chronic truants to whom supportive services, including prevention, diagnostic, intervention and remedial services, alternative programs and other school and community.

Days PE per week

	Days PE per week
District	5
State	4

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Health and Wellness (cont)

Truant Minor Count

District	19
State	133,572

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\pm indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

Students


About the data

Student data includes information regarding the student population, including enrollment and attendance data, as well as information regarding specific student groups, such as gifted students and English Learner students. Student data often is disaggregated further into demographic student groups.



Student Enrollment





Student Enrollment

What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of students enrolled in this school as of October 1 of the current school year. District-level enrollment includes students attending a school in the district and students placed in private schools by the district and funded by the district. The student enrollment excludes the following:

- Students given vouchers to attend private schools
- Students placed by their parents in private schools whose tuition is paid for by their parents, but who receive special education services from their home district

By Subgroups

	AII	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	100.0% 1,555	49.1% 763	50.9% 792	‡ *	‡ ‡	4.1 % 63	2.6% 40	53.1% 825	‡ *	‡ ‡	4.0% 62	35.6 % 554	20.4% 317
State	100.0% 1,848,560	48.6 % 897,517	51.4% 950,308	0.0% 735	0.2% 4,558	5.7% 105,669	16.3 % 301,432	28.6% 528,901	0.3% 4,666	0.1% 1,551	4.5% 83,710	44.3 % 818,073	20.3% 374,578

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Former EL	Homeless	Migrant	Military	Never EL	Youth In Care
District	31.6 % 491	20.4% 317	38.1% 592	7.3% 113	‡ ‡	‡ *	0.8% 12	61.2% 951	‡ ‡
State	17.5% 323,442	16.3 % 301,325	49.7% 918,460	7.9% 145,624	2.7% 49,396	0.0% 511	0.8% 15,488	74.6 % 1,379,494	0.7% 12,794

By Grades

	PK	K	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8
District	86	152	161	152	157	138	187	169	163	190
State	87,040	119,309	125,401	128,383	134,226	132,650	132,489	131,830	133,868	137,233

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Advanced Academic Programs

What is it?

Advanced Academic Programs are courses of study to which students are assigned based on advanced cognitive ability or advanced academic achievement compared to local age peers and in which the curriculum is substantially differentiated from the general curriculum to provide appropriate challenge and pace.

Students who are Identified as Accelerated: The percentage of students who are Identified as Accelerated. Assessing a student for placement in an Advanced Academic Program may include assessment of a student as accelerated, either whole grade or in a combination of one or more subjects.

Students who are Identified as Accelerated by Demographics: The percentage of students who Are Identified as Accelerated, allowing the totals to be broken down by subject and/or other demographic and programmatic factors.

Advanced Placement (AP) Testing (College Board) Exams: This shows the cumulative total number of AP exams taken and the cumulative total number of AP exams receiving a score of 3.0 or more. Upon completion of an AP course, students may choose to take the corresponding AP Exam. Illinois state law PA 99-0358 provides that a student who takes a College Board Advanced Placement examination and receives a score of 3 or higher on the examination is entitled to receive postsecondary level course credit at an Illinois public institution of higher education.

Students Enrolled in Advanced Academic Coursework: Advanced Academic Coursework includes courses in a variety of categories, all of which may or may not be offered within an individual school or district: Advanced Placement Coursework, IB Coursework, Enriched of Honors Coursework, and Dual-Credit Coursework.

Students Enrolled in Advanced Academic Coursework – Grade and Demographics: The percentage of students who are enrolled in Advanced Placement Coursework, allowing the totals to be broken down by type of coursework, grade level, and/or other demographic and programmatic factors.

Students Assessed for Giftedness by Demographics: The number and percentage of students at this location who have been assessed for giftedness, allowing the totals to be broken down by subject and/or other demographic and programmatic factors.

Students Assessed for Giftedness Taught by a Gifted Endorsed Teacher – Grade and Demographics: The number and percentage of students at this location who have been assessed for giftedness and were taught by a Gifted Endorsed Teacher, allowing the totals to be broken down by subject, grade level, and/or other demographic and programmatic factors.

Students Identified as Gifted by Demographics: The number and percentage of students at this location who have been identified as gifted in accordance with the district's gifted assessment and academic policy, allowing the totals to be broken down by subject and/or other demographic and programmatic factors.

Students Identified as Gifted Taught by a Gifted Endorsed Teacher – Grade and Demographics: The number and percentage of students at this location who have been identified as gifted in accordance with the district's gifted assessment and academic policy and were taught by a Gifted Endorsed Teacher, allowing the totals to be broken down by subject and/or other demographic and programmatic factors.

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Students who are Identified as Accelerated - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	13.4 % 257,423	14.0% 129,975	12.9% 127,298	19.8% 150	12.4% 589	30.7% 33,786	8.1% 25,767	13.2% 71,750	14.5% 995	19.3% 307	12.1% 11,532	13.5% 112,697	7.3 % 27,623
	English	Studen	te Low		Va	uth In		ı	I.		I.	I.	

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*
State	7.3 % 25,265	3.9% 11,862	8.8 % 82,556	6.2% 3,926	1.9% 266

Students who are Identified as Accelerated - ELA - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	0.9% 17,699	1.2% 11,287	0.6% 6,394	2.4% 18	0.5% 25	0.9% 1,006	0.6% 2,048	0.7% 3,929	0.8% 53	0.8% 13	1.0% 957	1.2% 9,668	0.6% 2,147

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*
State	0.3 % 1,010	0.2% 745	0.6% 5,268	0.4% 269	0.2% 28

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\pm indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

Students who are Identified as Accelerated - Math - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	2.0% 38,063	1.6% 15,090	2.3% 22,955	2.4% 18	1.5% 73	6.1% 6,742	0.5% 1,456	1.0% 5,415	1.5% 100	2.1% 33	2.2% 2,123	2.7% 22,121	1.0% 3,965

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*
State	0.6% 2,209	0.5% 1,451	0.7% 6,603	0.4 % 247	0.2% 25

Students who are Identified as Accelerated - Single Subject Other than ELA, Math or Science - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	1.6% 29,875	1.5 % 14,237	1.6% 15,630	1.1% 8	2.0% 96	1.4% 1,546	1.2% 3,978	2.4% 13,245	2.2% 153	2.1% 34	1.1% 1,031	1.2% 9,792	1.5% 5,588

	English Learners	Homeless	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Military	Youth In Care
District	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*
State	2.4% 8,359	1.9% 1,219	1.2% 3,642	1.5% 14,177	*	0.4% 56

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\ddagger indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.} \\$

Students who are Identified as Accelerated - Mutiple Subjects, but not Whole Grade - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	8.7% 165,958	9.3% 86,304	8.1% 79,556	12.9% 98	8.1% 383	21.8% 24,023	5.5% 17,489	8.7 % 47,353	9.8% 672	14.1% 223	7.5 % 7,141	8.2% 68,674	4.0% 15,326

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*
State	3.8 % 13,059	1.9% 5,757	5.8% 54,527	3.4% 2,122	1.0% 145

Students who are Identified as Accelerated - Whole Grade Acceleration - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	0.1% 2,133	0.1% 1,159	0.1% 967	0.9% 7	0.1% 3	0.2% 213	0.2% 488	0.1% 781	0.0% 3	0.0% 0	0.1% 107	0.1% 538	0.0% 88

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*
State	0.1% 178	0.0 % 53	0.1% 829	0.0% 17	0.0% 3

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\ddagger indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.} \\$

Students Enrolled in Advanced Placement Coursework - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	25.8% 154,706	29.0% 84,514	22.7 % 70,044	30.9% 148	23.7% 346	56.5% 19,128	15.1% 14,807	24.4 % 42,677	30.4% 671	35.3% 206	26.4% 6,768	26.7% 70,103	10.7 % 12,740

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	11.5% 9,921	3.7% 3,201	17.8% 49,301	8.9 % 1,799	3.2% 103

Students Enrolled in any dual-credit course where college credit was earned - By Demographics

	AII	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	17.9% 107,289	18.4 % 53,503	17.4% 53,693	19.4% 93	13.0% 190	21.0% 7,113	11.9% 11,713	14.0% 24,589	20.3 % 447	17.3% 101	17.6% 4,523	22.3% 58,613	11.8 % 14,060

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	8.9% 7,690	8.3% 7,259	12.8% 35,591	9.4% 1,908	5.6% 177

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\pm indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

Students Enrolled in any course designated as Enriched or Honors - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	54.8 % 329,017	59.2% 172,321	50.7% 156,393	63.3 % 303	53.4% 779	80.0% 27,097	43.8 % 43,086	54.8 % 95,836	50.9% 1,122	65.5 % 382	54.2% 13,895	55.8% 146,820	31.9% 37,889

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	39.3 % 33,898	20.9% 18,196	45.0% 124,738	29.8% 6,025	17.9% 568

Students Enrolled in IB Coursework - By Demographics

	AII	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	1.4% 8,592	1.7% 4,899	1.2% 3,693	0.0% 0	1.8% 27	1.4% 468	2.2% 2,139	2.4% 4,172	0.1% 2	3.9% 23	0.8% 203	0.6% 1,558	0.7% 829

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	1.3% 1,130	0.5% 459	2.0% 5,509	1.4% 285	0.7% 21

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\pm indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

Students Enrolled in any Advanced Coursework - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	59.5 % 357,337	63.4% 184,633	55.9% 172,382	67.2% 322	56.6% 826	82.3% 27,872	47.9 % 47,132	58.4% 102,245	57.5% 1,267	68.3 % 398	58.8 % 15,071	61.8 % 162,526	37.0% 43,852

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	42.8% 36,985	25.7% 22,400	49.2% 136,487	34.0% 6,876	21.0% 667

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Students Assessed For Giftedness - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	2.6% 43	3.3% 26	2.0% 17	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	1.5% 13	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	4.6% 26	‡ ‡
State	11.8% 226,108	11.9% 110,217	11.7% 115,779	14.8% 112	13.9% 660	27.0% 29,685	7.3% 23,245	9.2% 50,047	9.7% 665	15.1% 239	13.4% 12,808	13.0% 108,759	10.4% 39,665

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	1.9% 10	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	8.4 % 28,791	8.9% 27,370	8.3 % 77,803	5.4% 3,438	5.9% 824

Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	1.8% 29	2.0% 16	1.5% 13	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	3.3% 19	‡ ‡
State	1.3% 24,782	1.3% 11,944	1.3% 12,832	0.8% 6	1.6% 78	4.2 % 4,574	0.6% 1,996	0.8 % 4,148	0.8% 57	1.7% 27	1.5% 1,406	1.5% 12,496	0.9% 3,490

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\pm indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers - By Demographics

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	0.5% 1,725	0.6% 1,890	0.6% 5,564	0.3% 169	0.2% 24

Students Identified As Gifted - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	1.3% 21	1.4% 11	1.2% 10	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	‡ *	‡ ‡	3.0% 17	‡ *
State	4.8 % 91,462	4.8 % 44,631	4.7% 46,778	7.0% 53	5.3% 253	17.2% 18,947	2.3% 7,284	3.1% 16,594	3.8% 262	7.8 % 123	5.4% 5,095	5.1% 42,904	2.5% 9,345

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	‡ ‡	‡ *	‡ *	‡ *	‡ *
State	1.5% 5,012	1.2% 3,793	2.4% 22,859	1.0% 604	0.5% 67

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\pm indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

Students Identified As Gifted Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	1.0% 17	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	2.3% 13	‡ ‡
State	0.8% 15,049	0.8% 7,157	0.8% 7,890	0.3% 2	1.1% 52	3.3 % 3,580	0.3% 1,092	0.4% 2,066	0.5% 36	1.3% 21	0.9% 903	0.9% 7,299	0.4% 1,406

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	0.1% 513	0.2% 522	0.3 % 2,793	0.1% 46	0.1% 7

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\pm indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

Students With IEPs

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who receive special education and related services in accordance with their Individualized Education Programs (IEPs). Each student who is found eligible for special education and related services receives an IEP that specifies supplemental services, accommodations, modifications, and supports to enable the student to be involved in, and make progress in, the general education curriculum. The state collects demographic information on all students, including racial and ethnic diversity. There are 14 disability areas, ranging from autism to visual impairment. Schools follow a process mandated by law in identifying students' disabilities and then developing IEPs.

Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary School, High School, or Unit District.

Peer districts for Unit Districts do not include Chicago Public Schools.

By Race/ Ethnicity

		American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White
District	All Students	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	‡	‡	‡	64.7%	*	‡	‡	27.3%
All Peer	All Students	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Districts	Students with IEPs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Districts		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

By Disability Category

		Autism	Deafness	Deaf- Blindness	Developmental Delay	Emotional Disability	Hearing Impairment	Intellectual Disability
District	All Students	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	8.0%	*	*	22.8%	3.3%	‡	‡
All Peer	All Students	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Districts	Students with IEPs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	All Students	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	13.5%	0.2%	0.0%	14.3%	4.7%	0.7%	4.3%

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Students With IEPs (cont)

By Disability Category

		Multiple Disabilities	Orthopedic Impairment	Other Health Impairment	Specific Learning Disability	Speech or Language Impairment	Traumatic Brain Injury	Visual Impairment
District	All Students	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	‡	‡	10.4%	25.5%	25.5%	*	*
All Peer	All Students	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Districts	Students with IEPs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	All Students	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	1.0%	0.2%	13.7%	30.9%	16.0%	0.2%	0.3%

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\pm indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students with IEPs and the classification of their educational environment. Special education law requires that students with IEPs be placed to the maximum extent appropriate with children who are not disabled. This means including students with IEPs in general classrooms, the least restrictive environment, as much as possible. The IEP team, which includes parents, bases placement decisions on the best ways to meet the identified needs of a student. The percentage of time spent in general classrooms will vary according to the needs of individual students, who may require supplemental services to achieve their learning goals. The percentage of students with IEPs whose educational environment was classified into one of four settings for students age 6 to 21 is as follows:

- 80 percent or more of time in a general classroom with their non-disabled peers
- Less than 40 percent of time in a general classroom
- 40-79 percent of time in a general classroom
- In a separate facility

Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary School, High School, or Unit District.

Peer districts for Unit Districts do not include Chicago Public Schools.

By Race/ Ethnicity - Within Total Population						
	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility		
All						
District	53.8%	29.0%	12.6%	4.5%		
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*		
State	54.4%	25.2%	13.5%	6.9%		
American Indian						
District	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%		
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*		
State	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%		
Asian						
District	1.7%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%		
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*		
State	1.5%	0.5%	0.6%	0.2%		

^{*}indicates non-reported data.‡indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

By Race/ Ethnicity - Within Total Population							
	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility			
Black	Black						
District	1.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.3%			
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*			
State	9.0%	5.9%	3.5%	1.7%			
Hispanic							
District	30.1%	22.4%	8.7%	2.4%			
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*			
State	16.0%	7.5%	4.1%	1.7%			
MENA	1		,				
District	*	*	*	*			
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*			
State	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
Native Hawaiian/ Paci	ific Islander		,				
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*			
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
Two or More Races	,	,	<u>'</u>				
District	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%			
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*			
State	2.6%	1.1%	0.7%	0.4%			
White							
District	19.9%	3.8%	3.5%	1.7%			
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*			
State	25.0%	10.0%	4.5%	2.9%			

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\ddagger indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.} \\$

By Race/ Ethnicity - Within Demographic Group							
	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility			
All	All						
District	53.8%	29.0%	12.6%	4.5%			
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*			
State	54.4%	25.2%	13.5%	6.9%			
American Indian							
District	‡	‡	‡	‡			
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*			
State	51.0%	26.8%	14.0%	8.1%			
Asian							
District	‡	‡	‡	‡			
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*			
State	53.1%	17.8%	21.4%	7.7%			
Black							
District	‡	‡	‡	‡			
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*			
State	44.6%	29.4%	17.4%	8.5%			
Hispanic							
District	47.3%	35.2%	13.7%	3.8%			
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*			
State	54.7%	25.6%	14.0%	5.7%			
MENA							
District	*	*	*	*			
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*			
State	47.6%	29.4%	15.2%	7.8%			

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\pm indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

By Race/ Ethnicity - Within Demographic Group

	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility				
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander							
District	*	*	*	*				
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*				
State	53.8%	22.3%	13.7%	10.2%				
Two or More Races								
District	‡	‡	‡	‡				
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*				
State	54.5%	22.9%	14.2%	8.4%				
White	White							
District	68.7%	13.3%	12.0%	6.0%				
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*				
State	58.9%	23.6%	10.6%	6.8%				

For Selected Disabilities - Within Total Population

	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility			
Autism							
District	2.4%	1.0%	3.1%	1.4%			
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*			
State	3.7%	2.9%	4.7%	2.1%			
Emotional Disability	Emotional Disability						
District	2.1%	0.3%	0.0%	1.4%			
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*			
State	1.9%	1.0%	0.6%	1.7%			

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\ddagger indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.} \\$

For Selected Disabilities - Within Total Population						
	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility		
Intellectual Disability						
District	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%		
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*		
State	0.2%	1.5%	2.3%	0.8%		
Other Health Impairment						
District	5.2%	3.1%	2.8%	0.7%		
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*		
State	8.6%	4.0%	1.3%	0.9%		
Specific Learning Disabili	ty					
District	10.5%	19.2%	0.3%	0.0%		
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*		
State	19.1%	12.7%	1.7%	0.5%		
Speech or Language Impairment						
District	24.1%	1.7%	0.7%	0.0%		
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*		
State	14.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%		

For Selected Disabilities - Within Disability Group

	Inside >= 80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility		
Autism						
District	30.4%	13.0%	39.1%	17.4%		
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*		
State	27.6%	21.5%	34.9%	16.0%		

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\pm indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

For Selected Disabilities - Within Disability Group							
	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility			
Emotional Disability							
District	54.5%	9.1%	0.0%	36.4%			
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*			
State	36.0%	20.0%	11.5%	32.2%			
Intellectual Disability							
District	‡	‡	‡	‡			
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*			
State	3.2%	31.1%	48.1%	17.5%			
Other Health Impairment							
District	44.1%	26.5%	23.5%	5.9%			
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*			
State	58.1%	26.8%	9.0%	6.1%			
Specific Learning Disabili	ty						
District	34.9%	64.0%	1.2%	0.0%			
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*			
State	56.1%	37.5%	5.0%	1.3%			
Speech or Language Impairment							
District	90.8%	6.6%	2.6%	0.0%			
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*			
State	98.2%	1.2%	0.5%	0.1%			

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\ddagger indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.} \\$

Early Childhood (EC) Educational Environments (ages 3-5)

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students with IEPs and the classification of their educational environment. Special education law requires that students with IEPs be placed to the maximum extent appropriate with children who are not disabled. This means including students with IEPs in general classrooms, the least restrictive environment, as much as possible. The IEP team, which includes parents, bases placement decisions on the best ways to meet the identified needs of a student. The percentage of time spent in general classrooms will vary according to the needs of individual students, who may require supplemental services to achieve their learning goals. For preschool students age 3-5 with IEPs, educational environments are classified in five ways:

- Receives the majority of special education services inside an early childhood program
- Enrolled in a regular early childhood program but the majority of special education services outside the early childhood program
- Enrolled in special education class or facility
- At home
- In the care of a service provider

Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary School, High School, or Unit District.

Peer districts for Unit Districts do not include Chicago Public Schools.

By Race/ Ethnicity - Within Total Population						
	Regular Early Childhood Program					
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider	
All						
District	51.0%	13.7%	31.4%	0.0%	3.9%	
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*	
State	54.6%	14.9%	25.4%	0.1%	5.0%	
American Indian						
District	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*	
State	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	
Asian						
District	2.0%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*	
State	2.6%	0.4%	1.4%	0.0%	0.3%	

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Early Childhood (EC) Educational Environments (ages 3-5) (cont)

By Race/ Ethnicity - W	By Race/ Ethnicity - Within Total Population						
	Regular Early Childhood Program						
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider		
Black							
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*		
State	7.8%	2.3%	5.6%	0.0%	0.2%		
Hispanic							
District	43.1%	7.8%	19.6%	0.0%	0.0%		
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*		
State	18.4%	2.4%	8.2%	0.0%	1.0%		
MENA							
District	*	*	*	*	*		
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*		
State	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%		
Native Hawaiian/ Pag	ific Islander						
District	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*		
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Two or More Races							
District	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*		
State	3.0%	1.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.2%		

 $[*] indicates non-reported data. \\ \ddagger indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. \\ Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater. \\$

Early Childhood (EC) Educational Environments (ages 3-5) (cont)

By Race/ Ethnicity - Within Total Population						
	Regular Early Childhood Program					
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider	
White						
District	5.9%	3.9%	3.9%	0.0%	3.9%	
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*	
State	22.4%	8.7%	8.7%	0.1%	3.2%	

By Race/ Ethnicity - Within Demographic Group							
	Regular Early Childhood Program						
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider		
All							
District	51.0%	13.7%	31.4%	0.0%	3.9%		
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*		
State	54.6%	14.9%	25.4%	0.1%	5.0%		
American Indian	American Indian						
District	‡	#	‡	#	‡		
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*		
State	64.6%	7.7%	26.2%	0.0%	1.5%		
Asian							
District	#	#	‡	#	‡		
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*		
State	55.3%	9.1%	29.8%	0.0%	5.8%		
Black							
District	*	*	*	*	*		
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*		
State	49.2%	14.4%	34.9%	0.1%	1.5%		

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

By Race/ Ethnicity - Within Demographic Group											
	Regular Early Childhood P	rogram									
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider						
Hispanic											
District	61.1%	11.1%	27.8%	0.0%	0.0%						
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*						
State	61.1%	8.2%	27.4%	0.0%	3.2%						
MENA											
District	*	*	*	*	*						
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*						
State	48.4%	10.3%	31.7%	0.0%	9.5%						
Native Hawaiian/ Pac	ific Islander										
District	‡	#	#	‡	‡						
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*						
State	43.3%	10.0%	36.7%	0.0%	10.0%						
Two or More Races											
District	‡	#	#	‡	‡						
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*						
State	53.8%	18.2%	23.8%	0.2%	4.1%						

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\pm indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

For Selected Disabiliti	ies - Within Total Popu	llation								
	Regular Early Childhood	Program								
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider					
Autism										
District	2.0%	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%					
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*					
State	5.2%	1.4%	8.2%	0.0%	0.1%					
Developmental Delay	1									
District	39.2%	7.8%	21.6%	0.0%	0.0%					
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*					
State	28.9%	4.3%	14.6%	0.0%	0.5%					
Emotional Disability										
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*					
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
Intellectual Disability										
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*					
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%					
Other Health Impairment										
District	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*					
State	1.1%	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%					

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

For Selected Disabiliti	es - Within Total Popul	ation			
	Regular Early Childhood P	rogram			
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider
Specific Learning Dis	sability				
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
All Peer Districts	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Speech or Language	Impairment				
District	9.8%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%
All Peer Districts	9.8%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%
State	18.5%	8.8%	0.6%	0.0%	4.3%

For Selected Disabilities - Within Disability Group

,											
	Regular Early Childhood P	rogram									
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program									
Autism											
District	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡						
All Peer Districts	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡						
State	34.8%	9.4%	55.0%	0.0%	0.8%						
Developmental Delay	1										
District	57. 1%	11.4%	31.4%	0.0%	0.0%						
All Peer Districts	57.1%	11.4%	31.4%	0.0%	0.0%						
State	59.8%	9.0%	30.1%	0.0%	1.1%						

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

For Selected Disabiliti	For Selected Disabilities - Within Disability Group											
	Regular Early Childhood P	rogram										
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider							
Emotional Disability												
District	*	*	*	*	*							
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*							
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%							
Intellectual Disability												
District	*	*	*	*	*							
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*							
State	21.1%	0.0%	78.9%	0.0%	0.0%							
Other Health Impairment												
District	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡							
All Peer Districts	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡							
State	44.6%	8.3%	46.0%	0.6%	0.6%							
Specific Learning Dis	sability											
District	*	*	*	*	*							
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*							
State	57.9%	10.5%	29.8%	0.0%	1.8%							
Speech or Language Impairment												
District	50.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%							
All Peer Districts	50.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%							

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\pm indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

English Learners

What is it?

This shows students whose primary language is not English. These students have been identified through a screening process as eligible for bilingual education and/or English as a second language services, and who have not yet reached English language proficiency as measured by ACCESS for ELLs 2.0.

EL on ACCESS

	ACCESS Enrollment	ACCESS Participation	EL Exit Student Rate	More than 7 years as an EL
District	*	100.0% 435	5.3% 23	* 48
State	*	100.0 % 280,143	7.1 % 19,761	* 66,717

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\ddagger indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.} \\$

Student Attendance

What is it?

This shows the average daily attendance at this school. This is a weighted measure of the number of days present relative to the total number of potential attendance days.

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	93.2%	93.1%	93.3%	*	94.1%	95.2%	91.5%	92.8%	*	94.0%	92.1%	93.8%	91.7%
State	91.8%	91.7%	91.8%	89.0%	90.4%	93.9%	88.9%	90.3%	93.0%	91.3%	91.9%	93.5%	90.3%
	English Learners	Studen with IEF			omeless M	ligrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	92.7%	91.7%	91.3%	6 86	*		92.4%	91.2%					
State	90.5%	90.0%	89.89	% 85	5.1% 9	1.1%	92.8%	89.4%					

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\ddagger indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.} \\$

Student Mobility Rate

What is it?

A school's student mobility rate is the percentage of students who experienced at least one transfer in or out of the school between the first school day of October and the last school day of the year. Graduates are not included.

Student Mobility

District	2.9%	‡	19.6	% ‡			‡	‡					
	English Learners	Studen with IEF		ow come Homeless Migrant		Military	Youth In Care						
State	7.3%	7.0%	7.5%	11.1%	10.5%	7.0%	12.6%	8.3%	11.9%	9.0%	8.0%	4.5%	6.6%
District	2.9%	2.6%	3.3%	*	‡	‡	‡	2.7%	*	‡	‡	2.4%	‡
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\ddagger indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.} \\$

Chronic Absenteeism Rate

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who miss 10 percent or more of school days per year either with or without a valid excuse. Illinois law defines "chronic absentee" as a student who misses 10 percent of school days within an academic year with or without a valid excuse. That's 18 days of an average 180-day school year. Excused absences include illness, suspension, need to care for a family member, etc. Students need daily instruction in order to succeed. Chronic absentees are at risk of academic and social problems.

By Subgroups

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	19.7%	18.7%	20.6%	*	‡	‡	23.9%	24.1%	*	‡	21.3%	14.2%	24.8%
State	25.4%	25.7%	25.1%	33.1%	33.0%	16.7%	39.3%	31.7%	21.2%	26.3%	25.5%	17.3%	31.3%

	English Learners	Homeless	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Military	Youth In Care
District	23.0%	‡	24.8%	27.9%	‡	‡
State	31.4%	52.9%	32.6%	35.1%	20.7%	36.9%

By Grades

	K	Grade1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8
District	23.2%	18.7%	17.6%	15.0%	26.5%	19.0%	15.7%	24.1%	18.4%
State	26.1%	21.9%	20.2%	19.1%	18.6%	18.4%	20.2%	22.4%	24.2%

^{*}indicates non-reported data.‡indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Chronic Truancy Rate

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who are considered chronic truants as defined in Section 26-2a of the School Code. Chronic truants include students subject to compulsory attendance who have been absent without valid cause from such attendance for 5 percent or more of the previous 180 regular attendance days.

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	6.2%	6.9%	5.5%	*	ŧ	‡	‡	9.6%	*	‡	‡	‡	8.0%
State	19.8%	19.8%	19.8%	17.5%	26.7%	8.8%	43.7%	28.3%	9.8%	19.8%	16.7%	7.3%	21.4%

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income
District	9.5%	8.0%	ŧ
State	28.7%	23.9%	31.2%

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\ddagger indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.} \\$

Truancy Rate

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who miss more than 1 percent but less than 5 percent school days per year without a valid excuse. Illinois law defines "truant" as a student who is subject to compulsory school attendance and is absent without a valid excuse for more than 1 percent but less than 5 percent of the past 180 school days.

	AII	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	270 19.9%	136 20.5%	134 19.4%	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	18 40.9%	183 26.0%	*	‡ ‡	13 21.7%	47 9.7%	61 23.3%
State	439,723 26.3%	215,363 26.5%	224,194 26.2%	166 24.0%	1,265 32.3%	20,437 21.1%	86,658 31.7%	160,595 33.9%	1,361 24.1%	388 27.4%	21,073 26.3%	147,946 20.1%	88,871 26.9%
	English Learners	Studer with IE		ne									
District	122 29.7%	61 23.3%	18 31.0%										
State	100,113 34.3%	71,738 27.7%	253,8 31.2%										

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\ddagger indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.} \\$

Student Discipline

What is it?

The tables below provide a summary of discipline incidents occurring over the previous school year. In the following tables incidents are broken down by column (actions taken). The tables represent breakdowns by race, gender, grade level, whether a student is an English learner, incident type, and duration of the action taken.

Total Student Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services		In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	109,518	390	110	71,655	61,097	1,418

Total Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services		In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	251,188	392	115	147,822	101,013	1,846

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

By Race - Incident	Count					
	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings
American Indian						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	785	*	*	508	273	4
Asian						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	2,536	1	1	1,747	779	8
Black						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	92,658	163	47	46,987	44,270	1,191
Hispanic						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	63,277	89	26	42,760	20,222	180
Native Hawaiian/	Pacific Islander					
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	161	*	*	90	71	*
Two or More Race	es					
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	17,629	45	4	9,924	7,512	144
White						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	73,171	94	37	45,162	27,564	314

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\ddagger indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.} \\$

By Grade Band - Incident Count Expulsion - Did Not All Discipline Expulsion - Received Receive Educational In-School Out-of-School Removals to Actions Educational Services alternative settings Services Suspension Suspension K-8 **District** 124,629 130 31 65,804 57,578 1,086 State 9-12 **District** 126,559 262 84 82,018 43,435 760 State

By Incident Type - Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings		
Tobacco								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	6,945	1	1	3,977	2,949	17		
Alcohol								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	3,020	2	2	1,279	1,726	11		
Drug Offences								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	9,926	56	14	2,766	6,910	180		
Violence with Phy	sical Injury							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	14,271	69	20	3,495	10,573	114		

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\ddagger indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.} \\$

By Incident Type - Incident Count									
	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings			
Violence without Physical Injury									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*			
State	50,061	99	20	20,055	29,245	642			
Dangerous Wea	pon: Firearm								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*			
State	469	16	5	94	345	9			
Dangerous Wea	pon: Other								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*			
State	1,967	45	10	467	1,376	69			
Other Reason									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*			
State	164,529	104	43	115,689	47,889	804			

By Program - Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings			
English Learners									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*			
State	39,656	45	18	27,369	12,115	109			
Students with IEF	Ps .								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*			
State	67,346	82	1	37,080	29,639	544			

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\pm indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

By Program - Incid	By Program - Incident Count										
	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services		In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings					
Low Income											
District	*	*	*	*	*	*					
State	181,966	295	80	104,561	75,538	1,492					

By Duration - Incident Count									
	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings			
Less than 1 day									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*			
State	33,424	2	1	29,205	4,201	15			
1-2 days									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*			
State	139,581	76	7	100,634	38,476	388			
2-3 days									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*			
State	37,612	6	1	13,197	24,224	184			
3-4 days									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*			
State	24,082	5	*	3,689	20,239	149			
4-10 days									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*			
State	11,060	8	*	956	9,896	200			

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\pm indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

By Duration - Incid	lent Count					
Greater than 10 da	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services		In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings
Ordator triair to a		I			I	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	5,429	295	106	141	3,977	910

By Gender - Incide	ent Count					
	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings
Female						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	76,447	108	23	44,517	31,176	623
Male						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	174,665	284	92	103,262	69,804	1,223
Non Binary						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	76	*	*	43	33	*


 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\ddagger indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.} \\$

Accountability

About the data

Summative designations are ratings that help families and communities understand how well schools are serving all students. Illinois has five summative designations: Exemplary School, Commendable School, Targeted School, Comprehensive School, and Intensive School. Targeted Schools and Comprehensive Schools receive additional funding and supports to build local capacity and improve student outcomes. Multiple measures of school performance and growth determine a school's annual* summative designation.

^{*}Federal waivers issued in 2020 and 2021 impacted accountability. For more information, see www.isbe.net/summative.



1 schools received funds totalling \$182,516

School Improvement Funds

Accountability

School Improvement Funds

What is it?

Funds authorized under Title I, Part A, Section 1003 School Improvement of ESSA are allocated on a formula basis to LEAs serving the state's lowest-performing schools that are implementing comprehensive support and improvement activities or the state's underperforming schools that are implementing targeted support and improvement activities. School Improvement funds are disbursed by the Illinois State Board of Education to eligible LEAs via the IL-EMPOWER Grant to assist schools in improvement status develop, implement, and monitor effective School Improvement Plans. The purpose of the funding is to build the capacity of school leaders to implement effective school improvement practices, and the goal is to enable schools in improvement status to improve student achievement and performance outcomes and to exit status. LEAs use school improvement funding for the following types of planning and implementation activities:

- Contracting for professional services from state-approved learning partners.
- Conducting school-level needs assessments.
- Analyzing data.
- Identifying resource inequities.
- Researching and implementing evidence-based interventions.
- Purchasing standards-aligned curriculum and materials.
- Purchasing and administering local assessments for progress monitoring.
- Paying school personnel to collaborate and to develop, implement, and monitor School Improvement Plans.

Schools Who Receive Title I School Improvement - 1003(a) Funds

	Cohort Year	School Improvement Status	Term within School Improvement Status Cycle	Current School Improvement Grant Term Allocation	Reason for Improvement Status & Grant Allocation
Washington Elem School	2023	Targeted	Implementation Year 1	\$182,516	CWD

^{*}indicates non-reported data.‡indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

What is it?

Summative Designation Meta Indicators: The accountability system has meta-indicators that are currently being validated for use as indicators within the summative designation calculations in future years. The Illinois Report Card is not reporting an overall calculation, but rather the sub-elements of the indicator calculations.

Percentage of Students with 95% Attendance in Junior/Senior year: The tables indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state who graduated with an average attendance rate of 95% or better over their junior and senior years.

Percentage of students who fall into each GPA category: The tables indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state whose GPA fell into one of four categorical ranges (as noted in the table).

Percentage of students who meet the IL SAT/ ACT Composite Minimum requirement: The tables below indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state who met the minimum composite score requirements on the SAT or ACT.

Percentage of students who have at least 1 Academic ELA Indicator: The tables below indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state who have currently met the requirements for at least 1 academic ELA indicator.

Percentage of students who have at least 1 Academic Math Indicator: The tables below indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state who have currently met the requirements for at least 1 academic MATH indicator.

Percentage of students who have identified a Career Area of Interest by Sophomore Year: The tables below indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state who have identified a career area of interest by their sophomore year.

Percentage of students who have earned 1, 2, or 3+ career ready indicators: The tables below indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state who have earned 1, 2, or 3+ career-ready indicators.

Percentage of students who have earned a College and Career Pathway Endorsement: The tables below indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state who have earned a college and career pathway endorsement.

Student Participation in Fine Arts: The tables below indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state who were enrolled in one or more fine arts courses in the previous year.

Fine Arts: Teacher Qualifications: The tables below indicate the percentage of students in the district and the state enrolled in fine arts courses taught by teachers licensed to teach fine arts courses.

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Percentage of	of students who h	nave earned 1, 2	, or 3+ career ready	/ indicators
---------------	-------------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

	3+	only 2	only 1	0							
Students with IEPs											
District	*	*	*	*							
State	4,500 27.6%	2,451 15.0%	3,790 23.2%	5,564 34.1%							
Low Income											
District	*	*	*	*							
State	16,783 27.5%	9,146 15.0%	14,025 23.0%	21,053 34.5%							

Percentage of students who have earned a College and Career Pathway Endorsement

		All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
Dis	trict	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Sta	te	2,707 2.0%	1,796 2.6%	907 1.3%	4 3.4%	4 1.3%	185 2.3%	231 1.1%	646 1.7%	*	3 2.2%	130 2.1%	1,508 2.4%	308 1.3%

	Learners	Former EL	with IEPs	Income
District	*	*	*	*
State	186 1.2%	522 2.4%	132 0.8%	944 1.6%

Fine Arts: Student Participation in Fine Arts

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	94.3%	95.1%	93.5%	*	‡	100.0%	93.3%	92.3%	*	‡	98.4%	96.0%	84.9%
State	72.0%	74.8%	69.2%	71.9%	71.7%	76.5%	72.1%	73.8%	*	71.3%	73.3%	70.0%	70.4%

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\ddagger indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.} \\$

Fine Arts: Student Participation in Fine Arts

State	77.3%	64.7%	70.2%	72.8%
District	90.2%	99.1%	84.9%	84.5%
	English Learners	Former EL	Students with IEPs	Low Income

Fine Arts: Teacher Qualifications

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%	*	‡	100.0%	97.6%	100.0%	*	ŧ	100.0%	100.0%	99.6%
State	96.0%	96.0%	96.0%	96.5%	96.1%	98.7%	90.7%	96.2%	*	97.1%	97.2%	97.4%	95.1%

State	96.9%	96.0%	94.3%	94.5%
District	100.0%	100.0%	99.6%	100.0%
	Learners	Former EL	with IEPs	Income

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\ddagger indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.} \\$

P-2: Chronic Absenteeism

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	92 19.6%	38 17.0%	54 21.9%	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	62 25.8%	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	20 11.1%	19 26.8%
State	85,201 22.3%	40,290 21.6%	44,907 22.9%	4 10.5%	278 30.9%	4,746 21.3%	23,143 36.0%	30,604 28.8%	* *	56 21.4%	4,711 21.7%	21,663 13.0%	18,330 27.2%

	English Learners	Former EL	Students with IEPs	Low Income
District	31 21.2%	‡ ‡	19 26.8%	‡ ‡
State	22,723 28.0%	1,722 20.3%	16,775 27.7%	61,853 31.2%

P2: Dual Language Programs

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	108 74.0%	45 79.0%	63 70.8%	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ *	106 84.1%	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	19 54.3%
State	20,582 25.3%	10,051 26.6%	10,531 24.1%	0 0.0%	77 22.5%	155 1.5%	120 4.8%	19,571 34.9%	*	6 12.2%	197 10.2%	456 4.3%	2,906 19.7%

	English Learners	Former EL	Students with IEPs	Low Income
District	108 74.0%	‡ *	19 54.3%	‡ ‡
State	20,582 25.3%	0 *	2,711 19.5%	15,163 26.5%

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\pm indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

P2: 3rd Grade Literacy

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	158 100.0%	71 100.0%	87 100.0%	*	*	15 ‡	‡ ‡	72 100.0%	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	59 100.0%	36 100.0%
State	121,111 94.5%	59,701 95.0%	61,395 94.1%	15 93.8%	260 92.5%	7,278 96.1%	18,996 91.3%	33,269 92.7%	*	75 91.5%	6,368 94.8%	54,865 96.6%	23,531 92.8%

	English Learners	Former EL	Students with IEPs	Low Income
District	47 100.0%	‡ ‡	36 100.0%	‡ ‡
State	26,029 91.9%	3,719 97.2%	19,629 92.3%	61,238 93.0%

Elementary/Middle School: 5th Grade Math

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	187 100.0%	87 100.0%	100 100.0%	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	99 100.0%	*	*	10 ‡	66 100.0%	40 100.0%
State	117,329 92.1%	57,496 92.6%	59,805 91.7%	28 96.5%	260 84.7%	7,288 95.7%	17,612 86.4%	32,268 90.2%	* *	90 93.8%	5,908 92.6%	53,903 94.9%	23,732 90.7%

	English Learners	Former EL	Students with IEPs	Low Income
District	47 100.0%	20 100.0%	40 100.0%	*
State	19,605 88.3%	10,054 95.8%	18,791 90.3%	57,041 89.0%

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\ddagger indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.} \\$

Elementary/Middle School: Academic Success

	AII	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	442 82.9%	246 87.9%	196 77.5%	*	*	19 ‡	18 ‡	232 77.3%	*	*	16 69.6%	157 91.3%	90 85.7%
State	315,129 79.6%	159,710 82.7%	155,321 76.7%	98 81.0%	685 76.0%	21,875 93.2%	40,658 64.7%	83,698 75.2%	*	287 83.2%	14,626 78.5%	153,300 86.0%	59,007 74.6%

	English Learners	Former EL	Students with IEPs	Low Income
District	116 67.8%	74 94.9%	90 85.7%	27 67.5%
State	44,416 70.3%	36,651 89.0%	43,233 72.9%	132,376 70.2%

Elementary/Middle School: Student Discipline


	AII	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ 0.2%	‡ 0.0%	‡ 0.4%	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ 0.0%	‡ 0.0%	*	*	‡ 4.3%	‡ 0.0%	‡ 0.0%
State	17,819 4.4%	5,940 3.0%	11,872 5.7%	7 5.4%	49 5.2%	201 0.8%	6,878 10.5%	3,596 3.1%	*	11 3.1%	1,318 6.8%	5,766 3.2%	5,417 6.6%

	Learners	Former EL	with IEPs	Income
District	‡ 0.0%	‡ 0.0%	‡ 0.0%	‡ 0.0%
State	2,270 3.4%	626 1.5%	4,505 7.3%	12,852 6.6%


^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

About the data

Teacher data includes information regarding teachers, including salary, retention, and education, as well as information comparing the ratio of teachers to students.







Teacher Information

What is it?

This shows information pertaining to teachers, including experience, education, attendance, and evaluation.

	All Schools	Attendance Rate	Evaluation Rate
District	13	75.4%	100.0%
State	14	66.0%	96.9%

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Full-Time Equivalents

What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of teacher Full-Time Equivalents. The number of teachers at each school varies greatly depending on the student population, schools' grade configuration, programs offered, and the financial resources of the district. This displays the race and gender distribution by FTE for teachers in (this school/this district/the state). The table shows distribution by FTE, of *full-time equivalent*. FTE counts take into consideration employees who are employed less than full time, adding together the representative percentages of their level of employment (so 2 half time/.5 employees = 1FTE). Information is gathered about the race/ethnicity of school and district teachers. The percentage of male and female teachers is also compiled.

		All	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Unknown
District	All	100.0% 119.4	*	0.8%	*	22.2% 26.6	*	*	1.4% 1.6	69.5% 83	6.1% 7.2
	Female	84.1% 100.4	*	100.0% 1	*	84.9% 22.6	*	*	100.0% 1.6	81.9% 68	100.0% 7.2
	Male	15.9% 19	*	*	*	15.1% 4	*	*	*	18.1% 15	*
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	All	100.0% 137896.3	0.2% 338.9	2.1% 2889.1	6.3 % 8735.4	8.9 % 12273.1	*	0.1% 113	1.5% 2031.8	78.9% 108800.9	1.9% 2651.3
	Female	76.5 % 105554.1	77.4 % 262.3	76.3 % 2205.5	78.2% 6835.4	77.7 % 9540.2	* *	66.1% 74.7	78.0 % 1585.2	76.3 % 82967.2	76.6 % 2030.1
	Male	23.4% 32335.2	22.6% 76.6	23.6% 682.4	21.7% 1899.3	22.3 % 2732.9	*	33.9 % 38.3	22.0% 446.6	23.7% 25828.5	23.4% 621.2
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	* *	*	*	* *

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Teacher Head Count

What is it?

Not Available.

		All	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Unknown
District	All	100.0% 121	*	0.8% 1	*	22.2% 27	*	*	1.4% 2	69.5% 83	6.1% 8
	Female	84.1% 102	*	100.0%	*	84.9% 23	*	*	100.0%	81.9% 68	100.0%
	Male	15.9% 19	*	*	*	15.1% 4	*	*	*	18.1% 15	*
	Non Binary	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	AII	100.0% 142079	0.2% 351	2.1% 3017	6.3% 9177	8.9% 12734	*	0.1% 118	1.5% 2088	78.9 % 111759	1.9% 2770
	Female	76.5% 108777	77.4 % 271	76.3% 2308	78.2 % 7177	77.7% 9913	*	66.1% 79	78.0% 1636	76.3 % 85213	76.6 % 2125
	Male	23.4% 33293	22.6 %	23.6% 707	21.7% 1999	22.3% 2821	*	33.9% 39	22.0% 452	23.7% 26540	23.4% 645
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\pm indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

Teachers Education

What is it?

The tables below represent the percentage of teachers with bachelor's and master's degrees across the state and highlight the rates across all high-poverty and low-poverty schools in the district (as applicable).

Teachers Education - Bachelor's

	All Schools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	42.5%	*	50.0%
State	42.4%	42.4%	36.4%

Teachers Education - Master's

	All Schools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	57.3%	*	50.0%
State	56.6%	55.9%	63.3%

Student-To-Teacher Ratios

What is it?

This shows the average number of students per teacher. Teachers classified as special education teachers are not included. Research indicates that children in lower grades show the potential for higher achievement scores when they are in smaller classes. Many factors contribute to student achievement, and class size is only one part of this bigger picture. Special education classes are not included in this calculation.

	Student-Teacher Ratio - Elementary	Student-Teacher Ratio - Secondary
District	17	*
State	17	17

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Novice Teachers

What is it?

The table below represents the percentage of teachers defined as "novice" - having less than 2 full-time equivalent years of combined public school teaching experience. The display summarizes the rate within this district and across the state and highlights the rates across all high-poverty and low-poverty schools in the district (as applicable).

	All Schools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	7 6.0%	*	4 3.0 %
State	8,956 6.5%	2,511 8.0%	1,842 4.0%

Teacher Out of Field

What is it?

The table below represents the percentage of teachers defined as "out-of-field" – a teacher teaching in a grade or content area for which he or she does not hold the appropriate state-issued license, endorsement, approvals, or previous qualifications. The display summarizes the rate across the state and highlights the rates across all high-poverty and low-poverty schools in the district (as applicable).

	All Schools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	30 4.5 %	:	2 2.1%
State	106,079 9.7%	37,367 16.7%	13,401 4.1%

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Teachers with Short Term or Provisional Licenses

What is it?

The tables below represent the percentage of teachers teaching with short-term or provisional licenses. The display summarizes the rate across the state and highlights the rates across all high-poverty and low-poverty schools.

	All Schools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	8 6.3%	• •	5 11.9%
State	4,653 3.4%	1,427 4.9%	728 1.7%

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\ddagger indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.} \\$

Retention

What is it?

This shows the three-year average percentage of full-time teachers returning to the same school year to year.

		All	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Unknown
District	All	85.6% 291	*	83.3% 5	*	81.5% 66	*	*	75.0 %	87.1% 202	92.3% 12
	Female	85.6% 243	*	83.3% 5	*	81.4% 57	*	*	75.0% 6	87.2% 163	92.3% 12
	Male	85.7% 48	*	*	*	81.8% 9	*	*	*	86.7% 39	*
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	All	89.5 % 325,163	87.4% 615	88.3 % 5,846	84.9% 16,097	89.1% 23,507	*	90.3% 215	89.6% 4,197	89.9 % 269,461	87.1 % 5,136
	Female	89.2 % 247,180	86.9% 469	87.9% 4,436	85.1% 12,528	89.3% 18,269	* *	90.7 % 147	89.9% 3,267	89.6 % 204,103	86.8% 3,892
	Male	90.4% 77,978	89.0% 146	89.5% 1,409	84.3 % 3,569	88.7 % 5,238	*	89.5% 68	88.7% 930	91.1% 65,354	87.9 % 1,244
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\pm indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.}$

Average Teacher Salary

What is it?

This shows the average salary for teachers. This information is reported at the district level.

District \$63,540	
007.570	
Average Teacher Salary	

Teacher Evaluation

What is it?

Not Available.

	All Schools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	73 100.0%	0	24 100.0%
State	58,786 96.9%	11,415 92.1%	18,704 98.7%

 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\ddagger indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.} \\$

Teachers with Gifted Endorsement

What is it?

This shows the number of teachers who have taken the necessary steps to obtain an endorsement for their Professional Educator License indicating that they have the skills to respond to the needs of gifted students in their classroom.

Teachers with Gifted Endorsement					
District	5				
State	1,102				

National Board Certified Teachers

What is it?

This shows the numbers of teachers who have achieved recognition as National Board Certified Teachers, both as a whole and broken down by certain demographic indicators.

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Unknown
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	2,070	1,674	396	*	4	61	128	169	1	1	56	1,628	*

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Average Teaching Experience

What is it?

The table below represents the average teaching experience of teachers across the state and highlights the rates across all high-poverty and low-poverty schools in the district (as applicable).

	All Schools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools	
District	13	*	*	
State	14	*	*	


 $[\]hbox{* indicates non-reported data.} \\ \hbox{\ddagger indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.} \\$

Administrators & Support Personnel

About the data

Administrator data includes information regarding administration, including salary and turnover, as well as information comparing ratios of administration to both students and staff.





2 principal(s) over the past 6 years

Principal Turnover

Student-To-Staff Ratios

What is it?

This shows the average number of students per certified staff member and the average number of students per administrator.

	Student-Certified Staff Ratio	Student-Administrator Ratio			
District	7	m			
State	9	136			

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Administrators & Support Personnel

Demographics

What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of administrator Full-Time Equivalents. The number of administrators at each school varies greatly depending on the student population, schools' grade configuration, programs offered, and the financial resources of the district

		AII	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Unknown
District	All	100.0% 14	*	*	*	14.3% 2	*	*	*	85.7% 12	*
	Female	75.0% 10.5	*	*	*	100.0%	*	*	*	70.8% 8.5	*
	Male	25.0% 3.5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	29.2% 3.5	*
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	AII	100.0% 13632	0.2% 28.2	1.1% 151.2	14.5 % 1978.6	6.6% 898.7	*	0.1% 6.8	1.4% 189.6	74.4 % 10143	1.7% 231
	Female	61.0% 8317.9	74.7 % 21.1	62.8% 95	73.4% 1451.6	66.2 % 595.1	*	58.5 %	73.0 % 138.4	57.6% 5847	69.7% 160.9
	Male	39.0 % 5314	25.3% 7.1	37.2 % 56.2	26.6% 526.9	33.8 % 303.6	*	41.5% 2.8	27.0% 51.2	42.4 % 4296.1	30.3% 70.1
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Administrators & Support Personnel

Principal Turnover

What is it?

Principal Turnover for the school is the number of different principals at the same school in the last six years. At the district and state level, it is the average number of principals at the same school in the past six years. If the number is zero, no current data was provided.

	Principal(s) over the past 6 years
District	2
State	2

Average Administrator Salary

What is it?

This shows the average salary for administrators. This information is reported at the district level.

	Average Administrator Salary
District	\$127,404
State	\$123,642

^{*}indicates non-reported data.‡indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Administrators & Support Personnel

Novice Administrator

What is it?

The table below represents the percentage of administrators defined as "novice" - having less than 2 full-time equivalent years of combined public school teaching experience. The display summarizes the rate within this district and across the state and highlights the rates across all high-poverty and low-poverty schools in the district (as applicable).

	All Schools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	2 14.3%	•	1 33.3%
State	1,220 9.0%	284 12.1%	241 8.6%

Support Personnel FTE

What is it?

This displays the total support personnel (Counselors, School Nurses, School Psychologists, and School Social Workers) by FTE, of *full-time equivalent*. FTE counts take into consideration employees who are employed less than full time, adding together the representative percentages of their level of employment (so 2 half time/.5 employees = 1FTE).

Total Support Personnel FTE

	Total School Counselor FTE	Total School Nurse FTE	Total School Psychologist FTE	Total School Social Worker FTE
District	1	*	1	6.5
State	3767.5	1279	2121.8	4396.2

^{*}indicates non-reported data. ‡indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Administrators & Support Personnel

Pupil Support Personnel Ratio

491

What is it?

State

These tables display the average number of students per counselor, the average number of students per nurse, the average number of students per social worker, and the average number of students per psychologist in this entity (whether it be school, district, or the state.) The ratio is calculated by using the fall enrollment total, divided by the number of FTE psychologists. When examining school or district ratios keep in mind that the number of psychologists can vary greatly between schools and districts, depending on their size, student population, programs, and financial resources.

Student/Support Personnel Ratio Student/School Counselor Ratio Student/School Nurse Ratio Student/School Psychologist Ratio Student/School Nurse Ratio 1,555 \$ 239

1,445

871

420

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Civil Rights Data Collection

(2021-22

About the data

The Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) is a biennial (i.e., every other school year) survey of public schools that has been required by the U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights since 1968. The CRDC collects data on leading civil rights indicators related to access and barriers to educational opportunity from early childhood through Grade 12. The CRDC collects data from public Local Educational Agencies and schools, including juvenile facilities, charter schools, alternative schools, and schools serving only students with disabilities.

Student Environment

What is it?

Data from the Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) comes directly from the U.S. Department of Education (ED). ED collects the data directly from ISBE and school districts and releases the information on a two- to three-year delay. ISBE also collects some of these data elements from districts and reports the results in the year it was collected. Current year data for in-school suspensions, out-of-school suspensions, and expulsions is reported by ISBE in a separate report on www.isbe.net. Current year data for chronic absenteeism can be found in the Students section of the Report Card. Please note data may not match due to differences in data collection methods.

	In School Suspensions	Out Of School Suspensions	Expulsions	School Related Arrests	Referral to Law Enforcement	Chronic Absenteeism
District	1.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	*
State	4.0%	3.7%	0.5%	0.2%	0.5%	*

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Civil Rights Data Collection

(2021-22

Student Environment (cont)

	Incidents of Harassment or		Number of Schools wi	th Incidents of Violence
	Bullying	Incidents of Violence	Firearm	Homicide
District	0.1%	1.2%	0	0
State	0.8%	3.3%	3	3

Academic Environment

What is it?

Data from the Civil Rights Data Collection comes directly from ED, which collects the data directly from ISBE and school districts and releases the information on a two- to three-year delay. ISBE also collects some of these data elements from districts and reports the results in the year it was collected. Current year data for accelerated coursework can be found in the Academic Performance section of the Report Card. Please note data may not match due to differences in data collection methods.

			Advanced Placement Course Work			
	Enrolled in PreSchool	Advanced Placement (AP) Course Work	International Baccalaureate (IB) Course Work	Dual Credit Course Work		
District	5.3% 80	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
State	3.9% 70,447	7.6% 137,290	0.4% 6,371	4.4% 78,721		

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.



About the data

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), also known as "The Nation's Report Card," is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. NAEP does not provide scores for individual students or schools; instead, it offers results regarding subject-matter achievement, instructional experiences, and school environment for populations of students (e.g., fourth-graders) and subgroups of those populations (e.g., female students, Hispanic students). NAEP results are based on a sample of student populations of interest. National NAEP reports information for the nation and specific geographic regions of the country. It includes students drawn from both public and nonpublic schools and reports results for student achievement at Grades 4, 8, and 12.

Inclusion Rate and Standard Error in NAEP

What is it?

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), also known as "The Nation's Report Card," is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.

Percentage of students identified With Disabilities and English Learners - Reading

	Grade 4 G		Grade 8	
	English Language Learners		English Language Learners	
Jurisdiction	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error
Illinois	91	1.4	89	1.7


Percentage of students identified With Disabilities and English Learners - Mathematics

Illinois	92	1.4	90	1.7
Jurisdiction	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error
	English Language Learners		English Language Learners	
	Grade 4		Grade 8	



What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.




^{*} Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in {year}. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.



What is it?


NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.



IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabiliation Act of 1973.


NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.





^{*} Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in {year}. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.





IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabiliation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.



Results for Student Groups

What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.

Results for Student Groups in 2024 - Reading - Grade 4

			Percentage at or above NAEP		
Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Average Score	Basic	Proficient	Percentage at NAEP Advanced
Race/Ethnicity					
American Indian	#	‡	‡	‡	‡
Asian	5.0%	239	83.0%	57.0%	22.0%
Black	18.0%	198	43.0%	18.0%	5.0%
Hispanic	27.0%	202	46.0%	21.0%	4.0%
MENA	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	#	‡	‡	‡	‡
Two or More Races	4.0%	225	69.0%	44.0%	18.0%
White	46.0%	224	70.0%	37.0%	12.0%
Gender					
Female	49.0%	218	63.0%	33.0%	10.0%
Male	51.0%	210	56.0%	28.0%	8.0%
Non Binary	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
National School Lunch Program					
Eligible NSLP	52.0%	199	45.0%	18.0%	3.0%
Not Eligible NSLP	48.0%	230	75.0%	44.0%	15.0%

[#] Rounds to zero.

[‡] Reporting standards not met.



Results for Student Groups (cont)

Results for Student Groups in 2024 - Reading - Grade 8

ite suits for ottate into	oups iii 2024 - Reduiii	, orduc o				
			Percentage at or above N	AEP	Percentage at NAEP	
Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Average Score	Basic	Proficient	Advanced	
Race/Ethnicity						
American Indian	#	‡	‡	‡	‡	
Asian	6.0%	284	89.0%	56.0%	14.0%	
Black	14.0%	242	50.0%	16.0%	1.0%	
Hispanic	28.0%	252	61.0%	24.0%	2.0%	
MENA	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	#	‡	‡	‡	‡	
Two or More Races	4.0%	269	76.0%	40.0%	6.0%	
White	47.0%	270	79.0%	40.0%	6.0%	
Gender						
Female	48.0%	266	73.0%	36.0%	6.0%	
Male	52.0%	258	67.0%	30.0%	4.0%	
Non Binary	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	
National School Lunch Program						
Eligible NSLP	47.0%	246	55.0%	19.0%	1.0%	
Not Eligible NSLP	52.0%	276	83.0%	46.0%	8.0%	


[#] Rounds to zero.

[‡] Reporting standards not met.



What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.




^{*} Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in {year}. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.



What is it?


NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.



IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabiliation Act of 1973.


NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.





^{*} Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in {year}. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.





IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabiliation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.



Results for Student Groups

What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.

Results for Student Groups in 2024 - Math - Grade 4

			Percentage at or above NAEP		
Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Average Score	Basic	Proficient	Percentage at NAEP Advanced
Race/Ethnicity					
American Indian	#	‡	‡	‡	‡
Asian	5.0%	259	92.0%	62.0%	26.0%
Black	18.0%	217	54.0%	18.0%	1.0%
Hispanic	27.0%	223	62.0%	20.0%	3.0%
MENA	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	#	‡	‡	‡	‡
Two or More Races	4.0%	240	79.0%	45.0%	10.0%
White	46.0%	249	86.0%	53.0%	13.0%
Gender					
Female	49.0%	234	73.0%	36.0%	7.0%
Male	51.0%	238	75.0%	40.0%	11.0%
Non Binary	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
National School Lunch Program					
Eligible NSLP	52.0%	222	61.0%	21.0%	2.0%
Not Eligible NSLP	48.0%	251	88.0%	56.0%	15.0%

[#] Rounds to zero.

[‡] Reporting standards not met.



Results for Student Groups (cont)

Results for Student Groups in 2024 - Math - Grade 8

	oups III 2024 - Hatti - 0		B I NASB		
			Percentage at or above NAEP		Percentage at NAEP
Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Average Score	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Race/Ethnicity					
American Indian	#	‡	‡	‡	‡
Asian	6.0%	309	87.0%	61.0%	30.0%
Black	14.0%	250	35.0%	9.0%	2.0%
Hispanic	29.0%	262	48.0%	18.0%	4.0%
MENA	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	#	‡	‡	‡	‡
Two or More Races	4.0%	286	62.0%	36.0%	18.0%
White	47.0%	290	75.0%	44.0%	15.0%
Gender					
Female	48.0%	274	61.0%	28.0%	8.0%
Male	52.0%	280	63.0%	36.0%	13.0%
Non Binary	+	‡	‡	‡	‡
National School Lunch Program					
Eligible NSLP	48.0%	259	44.0%	15.0%	3.0%
Not Eligible NSLP	51.0%	295	79.0%	49.0%	18.0%

[#] Rounds to zero.

[‡] Reporting standards not met.